

Exhibit 11

Jonathan Gardner (admitted *pro hac vice*)
Alfred L. Fatale III (admitted *pro hac vice*)
Joseph N. Cotilletta (admitted *pro hac vice*)
Beth C. Khinchuk (admitted *pro hac vice*)
LABATON KELLER SUCHAROW LLP
140 Broadway
New York, New York 10005
Telephone: (212) 907-0700
Facsimile: (212) 818-0477
Email: jgardner@labaton.com
afatale@labaton.com
jcotilletta@labaton.com
bkhinchuk@labaton.com

Class Counsel for Plaintiffs and the Class

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION**

BOSTON RETIREMENT SYSTEM,

Plaintiff,

v.

UBER TECHNOLOGIES, INC., et al.,

Defendants.

Case No.: 3:19-cv-06361-RS

**DECLARATION OF JOHN T. JASNOCH ON BEHALF OF
SCOTT+SCOTT ATTORNEYS AT LAW LLP IN SUPPORT OF APPLICATION FOR AN
AWARD OF ATTORNEYS' FEES AND EXPENSES**

I, John T. Jasnoch, declare as follows, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1746:

1. I am partner of the law firm of Scott+Scott Attorneys at Law LLP ("Scott+Scott"). I submit this declaration in support of my firm's application for an award of attorneys' fees and

1 expenses in connection with services rendered in the above-entitled action (the “Action”) from the
2 inception of my firm’s involvement in the Action through September 20, 2024 (the “Time Period”).

3 2. My firm, which served as additional counsel in the Action and as individual counsel
4 to Class Representative David Messinger, was involved throughout the course of the litigation upon
5 the filing of the *Messinger v. Uber Technologies, Inc.* case in the Northern District of California. The
6 *Messinger* Action was consolidated into the above captioned action, which is further described in the
7 accompanying Declaration of Alfred L. Fatale III in Support of (I) Class Representatives’ Motion for
8 Final Approval of Class Action Settlement and Plan of Allocation; and (II) Class Counsel’s Motion
9 for an Award of Attorneys’ Fees and Payment of Expenses, filed herewith.

10 3. Over the last several years, my firm was involved in significant motion practice, fact
11 discovery, expert discovery, case strategy, and other trial preparations. For example, Scott+Scott was
12 involved in preparing briefing related to Defendants’ motion to dismiss the *Messinger* plaintiffs from
13 the Action, the motion for class certification, and several of the discovery disputes that were litigated.

14 4. Scott+Scott was also heavily involved in fact and expert discovery. Scott+Scott
15 attorneys took five fact depositions and one expert deposition. Of note, Scott+Scott focused much of
16 its work on the prosecution of the claims related to Uber’s passenger safety, including taking the
17 deposition of Uber’s corporate representative with knowledge about Plaintiffs’ passenger safety
18 allegations. Scott+Scott also deposed Uber’s head of safety. Scott+Scott attorneys also handled
19 discovery related to Class Representative David Messinger, including working with him to produce
20 documents and respond to multiple rounds of discovery requests. Scott+Scott also prepared and
21 defended Mr. Messinger at his deposition.

22 5. Scott+Scott focused significant work on prosecuting the claims against the
23 Underwriter Defendants, including taking the depositions of the corporate representatives of
24 Underwriter Defendants Morgan Stanley and Goldman Sachs. To that end, Scott+Scott worked
25 closely with Class Representatives’ underwriter due diligence expert in the preparation and service
26 of an expert rebuttal report. Scott+Scott deposed the Underwriter Defendants’ due diligence expert
27 and defended the deposition of Class Representatives’ due diligence expert.

1 6. The information in this declaration regarding my firm's time and expenses is taken
 2 from time and expense records prepared and maintained by the firm in the ordinary course of business.
 3 These records (and backup documentation where necessary) were reviewed by me and others at my
 4 firm, under my direction, to confirm both the accuracy of the entries as well as the necessity for and
 5 reasonableness of the time and expenses committed to the Action. As a result of this review and the
 6 adjustments made, I believe that the time reflected in the firm's lodestar calculation and the expenses
 7 for which payment is sought are reasonable in amount and were necessary for the effective and
 8 efficient prosecution and resolution of the Action. In addition, I believe that the expenses are all of a
 9 type that would normally be paid by a fee-paying client in the private legal marketplace.

10 7. After this review, the number of hours spent on the litigation by my firm is 4,757.00.
 11 The lodestar amount for attorney/professional support staff time based on the firm's current hourly
 12 rates is \$3,926,699.00. A summary of the lodestar is provided in Exhibit A and a breakdown of the
 13 work associated with the lodestar, by task code, is provided in Exhibit B. The schedules were
 14 prepared from daily time records regularly prepared and maintained by my firm, which are available
 15 at the request of the Court. Time expended in preparing this application for fees and payment of
 16 expenses has not been included. The hourly rates shown in Exhibit A are consistent with the hourly
 17 rates submitted by the firm in other contingent securities class action litigations. The firm's rates are
 18 set based on periodic analysis of rates used by firms performing comparable work both on the plaintiff
 19 and defense side. For personnel who are no longer employed by the firm, the "current rate" used for
 20 the lodestar calculation is the rate for that person in his or her final year of employment with the firm.

21 8. As detailed in Exhibit C, my firm has incurred a total of \$278,566.18 in expenses in
 22 connection with the prosecution of the Action. The expenses are reflected on the books and records
 23 of my firm. These books and records are prepared from expense vouchers, check records, and other
 24 source materials and are an accurate record of the expenses incurred.

25 9. The following is additional information regarding certain of these expenses:

26 (a) Court, Witness & Service Fees: \$3,788. These expenses have been paid to
 27 attorney service firms or courts in connection with attorney admissions and court filings.
 28

(b) Telecommunications: \$229.33. These expenses are related to long distance telephone, fax, and conference calls.

(c) Postage: \$422.52. These expenses are related to postage and overnight delivery services.

(d) Transcripts: \$1,985.30. These expenses are related to obtaining the deposition transcript of Class Representative David Messinger

(e) Miscellaneous: \$250. This expense related to the purchase of scholarly materials for use in expert discovery.

(f) Work-Related Transportation, Hotels & Meals: \$30,886.38. In connection with the prosecution of this case, the firm has paid for work-related transportation expenses, meals, and travel expenses related to, among other things, traveling to New York, Los Angeles, San Francisco, and San Jose for eight depositions, one cancelled deposition, and the mediation. (Any first-class airfare has been reduced to be comparable to economy rates.)

(g) Online Legal & Factual Research: \$831.40. These expenses relate to the usage of electronic databases, such as PACER, Westlaw, and LexisNexis. These databases were used to obtain access to financial data, factual information, and legal research.

(h) Joint Litigation Expense Fund: \$239,625. My firm contributed \$239,625 to the Joint Litigation Expense Fund maintained by Class Counsel Labaton Keller Sucharow LLP, which was established to manage the major expenses in the litigation. This fund is explained in the declaration submitted by Labaton. My firm seeks reimbursement for its contributions to the fund.

10. With respect to the standing of my firm, attached hereto as Exhibit D is a brief biography of my firm as well as biographies of the firm's attorneys.

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed this 3rd day of October, 2024 in San Diego, California.



JOHN T. JASNOCH

Exhibit A

*Uber Securities Litigation***EXHIBIT A****LODESTAR REPORT**

FIRM: SCOTT+SCOTT ATTORNEYS AT LAW LLP

REPORTING PERIOD: INCEPTION THROUGH SEPTEMBER 20, 2024

PROFESSIONAL	POSITION	CURRENT RATE	HOURS	LODESTAR
John Jasnoch	Partner	\$1,150	1,034.90	\$1,190,135.00
Mike Burnett	Partner	\$1,400	5.50	\$7,700.00
William Fredericks	Partner	\$1,900	217.20	\$412,680.00
Jonathan Zimmerman	Associate	\$665	128.60	\$85,519.00
Mollie Chadwick	Associate	\$625	1,421.50	\$888,437.50
Brandon Zapf	Staff Attorney	\$700	1,870.40	\$1,309,280.00
Anthony Haro	Paralegal	\$415	17.30	\$7,179.50
Devin Colonna	Paralegal	\$395	25.70	\$10,151.50
Ellen DeWan	Paralegal	\$435	13.00	\$5,655.00
Mara Waligurski	Paralegal	\$435	12.90	\$5,611.50
Michael Himes	Paralegal	\$435	10.00	\$4,350.00
TOTALS			4,757.00	\$3,926,699.00

Partner (P) Staff Attorney (SA) Research Analyst (RA)
 Of Counsel (OC) Contract Attorney (CA) Investigator (I)
 Associate (A) Paralegal (PL)

Exhibit B

*Uber Securities Litigation***EXHIBIT B****REPORT OF TIME BY TASK CATEGORIES**

FIRM: SCOTT+SCOTT ATTORNEYS AT LAW LLP
 REPORTING PERIOD: INCEPTION THROUGH SEPTEMBER 20, 2024

Categories:																	

Exhibit C

*Uber Securities Litigation***EXHIBIT C****EXPENSE REPORT**

FIRM: SCOTT+SCOTT ATTORNEYS AT LAW LLP

REPORTING PERIOD: INCEPTION THROUGH SEPTEMBER 20, 2024


CATEGORY		TOTAL AMOUNT
Court / Witness / Service Fees		\$3,788.00
Long Distance Telephone / Fax/ Conference Calls		\$229.33
Postage / Overnight Delivery Services		\$422.52
Online Legal & Factual Research		\$831.40
Transcript		\$1,985.30
Work-Related Transportation / Hotels / Meals		\$30,886.38
Duplicating		\$548.25
In-House BW: (2193 copies at \$0.25 per page)		
Contribution to Joint Litigation Expense Fund		\$239,625.00
Miscellaneous/Supplies - Expert Material		\$250.00
TOTAL		\$278,566.18

Exhibit D



FIRM RESUME

www.scott-scott.com

A faint, light gray background image of a pair of scales of justice, symbolizing law and equity.

Scott+Scott specializes in the investigation and prosecution of complex actions across the globe – recovering billions for its clients. The Firm has extensive experience litigating securities fraud, antitrust, consumer and other complex cases and is a pioneer in structured finance monitoring for client portfolios. We represent individual, institutional, and multinational clients in the United States, United Kingdom, and European courts, offering a one-stop shop for international recoupment.



THE FIRM

Scott+Scott was founded in 1975 and began its securities litigation practice in 1997. The Firm has since grown into one of the most respected U.S.-based law firms specializing in the investigation and prosecution of complex securities, antitrust and other commercial actions in both the United States and Europe. Today, the Firm is comprised of more than 135 team members, including more than 100 attorneys supported by a seasoned staff of paralegals, IT and document management professionals, financial analysts, and in-house investigators.

Scott+Scott's largest offices are in New York, N.Y. and San Diego, C.A., with additional U.S. offices located in Connecticut, Arizona, Delaware, Nebraska, Ohio, Texas, and Virginia. The Firm's European offices are currently located in London, Amsterdam, and Berlin.

Scott+Scott has extensive experience litigating cases on behalf of our institutional and individual clients throughout the United States, having served as court-appointed lead or co-lead counsel in numerous securities, antitrust, and consumer class actions, as well derivative and other complex proceedings, in both state and federal courts. The Firm also represents large investors and numerous corporations in commercial and other litigation in courts within the European Union (EU) and the United Kingdom.

Scott+Scott's attorneys are recognized experts and leaders in complex litigation and corporate governance. They have been regular speakers on CLE panels as well as at institutional investor educational conferences around the world and before boards of directors and trustees responsible for managing institutional investments. Scott+Scott attorneys educate institutional investors and governmental entities on the importance of fulfilling fiduciary obligations through the adoption of appropriate asset recovery services, as well as through the development and enforcement of corporate governance initiatives. The Firm's vast experience in structured debt financial litigation has also enabled us to provide clients with in-depth monitoring of their structured finance products, which often come with substantial undisclosed risks due to investors' limited ability to assess what they are acquiring. The Firm also has experience evaluating and monitoring for our clients' debt and debentures originating from private placements and non-public companies, including municipal bonds and derivatives.



SECURITIES AND CORPORATE GOVERNANCE

Scott+Scott has extensive experience litigating claims for violations of the federal securities laws on behalf of our municipal, institutional, and individual investor clients, serving as lead counsel in numerous securities class actions brought under the Securities Act of 1933, the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, and other statutes.

Scott+Scott recognizes that, particularly since the passage of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995, bringing successful claims for violations of the federal securities laws requires not only significant litigation experience, but also the ability to bring to bear the skills of its in-house investigators and financial analysts (and often outside consultants) to build a case that can survive both early-stage motions to dismiss and later stage motions for summary judgment. Our philosophy is also based on our view that efforts to negotiate a successful settlement are typically built on the quality of pre-filing investigation diligence, and our willingness to litigate deep into discovery and, if necessary, through summary judgment and trial.

Our securities litigators have experience practicing in state and federal courts across the country. The Firm's attorneys have regularly retained and worked with leading accounting experts, damages experts, and relevant industry experts to build their clients' cases against defendants involved in virtually every type of industry, from pharmaceuticals to dot.coms, from retailers to manufacturers, and from investment banks to accounting firms. The Firm has also submitted *amicus curiae* briefs to the United States Supreme Court on behalf of its clients on important securities laws issues, including in support of the plaintiffs in *California Public Emps.' Ret. Sys. ANZ Securities, Inc.*, 137 S. Ct. 2042 (2017) and *Cyan Inc. v. Beaver County Emp. Ret. Fund*, 138 S. Ct. 1061 (2018).

When appropriate, Scott+Scott prosecutes actions on a class or individual basis. Through our commitment to the best interests of those the Firm represents, Scott+Scott has successfully obtained exceptional monetary results and precedent-setting corporate governance reforms on behalf of investors.



SECURITIES CASE EXAMPLES

Securities class actions where Scott+Scott currently serves as lead or co-lead counsel include:

- *Severt v. UiPath, Inc.*, No. 1:23-cv-07908 (S.D.N.Y.);
- *City of Omaha Police and Firefighters Ret. Sys. v. Cognyte Software Ltd.*, No. 1:23-cv-01769 (S.D.N.Y.);
- *Pompano Beach Police and Firefighters Ret. Sys. v. Olo Inc.*, No. 1:22-cv-08228 (S.D.N.Y.);
- *In re Yatsen Holding Limited Sec. Litig.*, No. 1:22-cv-08165 (S.D.N.Y.);
- *Jochims v. Oatly Group AB*, No. 1:21-cv-06360 (S.D.N.Y.);
- *Gupta v. Athenex, Inc.*, No. 21-cv-337 (W.D.N.Y.);
- *Silverberg v. DryShips Inc.*, No. 2:17-cv-04547 (E.D.N.Y.);
- *Robinson v. Diana Containerships Inc.*, No. 2:17-cv-06160 (E.D.N.Y.);
- *City of Southfield Fire and Police Retirement System v. Hayward Holdings, Inc.*, No. 2:23-cv-04146 (D.N.J.);
- *In re SentinelOne, Inc. Sec. Litig.*, No. 4:23-CV-02786 (N.D. Cal.);
- *Peterson v. TriplePoint Venture Growth BDC Corp.*, No. 3:23-cv-02980 (N.D. Cal.);
- *Sundaram v. Freshworks, Inc.*, No. 3:22-cv-06750 (N.D. Cal.);
- *Strezsak v. Ardelyx Inc.*, No. 4:21-cv-05868 (N.D. Cal.);
- *Golubowski v. Robinhood Mkts.*, No. 3:21-cv-09767 (N.D. Cal.);
- *In re Vaxart, Inc. Sec. Litig.*, No. 3:20-cv-05949 (N.D. Cal.);
- *City of Birmingham Relief and Ret. Sys. v. Acadia Pharms. Inc.*, No. 3:21-cv-00762 (S.D. Cal.);
- *Frouws v. Edgio, Inc., et al.*, No. CV-23-00691 & No. CV-23-01170 (D. Az.);
- *In re Infinity Q Divers. Alpha Fund Sec. Lit.*, No. 651295/2021 (N.Y. Supr. Ct. N.Y. Cnty.);
- *Patel v. Viatris, Inc.*, No. GD-21-13314 (Pa. Ct. Com. Pl.);
- *In re Cloudera, Inc. Secs. Litig.*, No. 19CV348674 (Cal. Super. Ct. Santa Clara Cnty.);



- *In re Slack Techs., Inc. S'holder Litig.*, No. 19CIV05370 (Cal. Super. San Mateo Cnty.); and
- *Mancour v. SmileDirectClub, Inc.*, No.: 19-1169-IV (Tenn. Chancery Ct, Davidson Cnty.).

Securities class actions which have been resolved where Scott+Scott served as lead or co-lead counsel include:

- *Alaska Elec. Pension Fund v. Pharmacia Corp.*, No. 03-cv-01519 (D.N.J.) (\$164 million settlement);
- *Thurber v. Mattel, Inc.*, No. 2:99-cv-10368 (C.D. Cal.) (\$122 million);
- *In re LendingClub Corp. S'holder Litig.*, No. CIV 537300 (Cal. Super. Ct, San Mateo Cnty.) (part of \$125 global settlement);
- *In re Micro Focus Int'l plc Sec. Litig.*, Lead Case No. 18CIV01549 (CA Super. Ct. San Mateo Cnty.) (\$107.5 million settlement);
- *Okla. Firefighters Pens. vs. Newell Brands Inc.*, No. L-003492-18 (N.J. Sup. Ct. Hudson Cnty.) (\$102.5 million settlement);
- *In re Priceline.com, Inc. Sec. Litig.*, No. 00-cv-01884 (D. Conn.) (\$80 million settlement);
- *Irvine v. ImClone Sys., Inc.*, No. 02-cv-00109 (S.D.N.Y.) (\$75 million settlement);
- *Cornwell v. Credit Suisse Grp.*, No. 08-cv-03758 (S.D.N.Y.) (\$70 million settlement);
- *Policemen's Annuity & Benefit Fund of Chi. v. Bank of Am., N.A.*, No. 12-cv-02865 (S.D.N.Y.) (\$69 million settlement);
- *In re Nw. Corp. Sec. Litig.*, No. 4:03-cv-04049 (D.S.D.) (\$61 million);
- *In re SanDisk LLC Sec. Litig.*, No. 15-cv-01455 (N.D. Cal.) (\$50 million settlement);
- *In re Sprint Sec. Litig.*, No. 00-230077 (Mo. Cir. Ct., Jackson Cnty.) (\$50 million);
- *In re Emulex Corp. Sec. Litig.*, No. 8:01-cv-00219 (C.D. Cal.) (\$39 million);
- *Weston v. RCS Cap. Corp.*, No. 14-cv-10136 (S.D.N.Y.) (\$31 million settlement);
- *In re Greensky Sec. Litig.*, No. 1:18 Civ. 11071 (S.D.N.Y.) (\$27.5M settlement);
- *Schnall v. Annuity & Life Re (Holdings) Ltd.*, No. 3:02-cv-02133 (D. Conn.) (\$27 million);
- *In re Wash. Mut. Mortg.-Backed Sec. Lit.*, No. 2:09-cv-00037 (W.D. Wash.) (\$26 million recovery);
- *ATRS v Insulet Corp.*, No. 15-12345 (D. Mass.) (\$19.5 million settlement);



- *In re King Digit. Ent. PLC S'holder Litig.*, No. CGC-15-544770 (Cal. Sup. Ct. San Francisco Cnty.) (\$18.5 million settlement);
- *In re Evoqua Water Corp. Sec. Litig.*, No. 1:18-cv-10320 (S.D.N.Y.) (\$16.65 million settlement);
- *In re Conn's, Inc. Secs. Litig.*, No. 4:14-cv-00548 (S.D. Tex.) (\$22.5 million settlement);
- *In re DouYu Int'l Hold'gs Ltd. Sec. Litig.*, No. 651703/2020 (N.Y. Supr. Ct. N.Y. Cnty.) (\$15 million settlement);
- *Abadilla v. Precigen, Inc.*, No. 5:20-cv-06936 (N.D. Cal.) (\$13 million settlement);
- *Collins v. Oilsands Quest Inc.*, No. 11 Civ. 1288 (S.D.N.Y.) (\$10.235 million settlement);
- *Kaplan v. S.A.C. Cap. Advisors, L.P.*, No. 1:12cv-9350 (S.D.N.Y.) (\$10 million settlement);
- *Rosenberg v. Cliffs Natural Res. Inc.*, No. CV 14 828140 (Ct. Common Pleas Cuyahoga Cnty. Ohio) (\$10 million settlement);
- *Erie Cnty. Empl. Ret. Sys. v. NN, Inc.*, No. 656462/2019 (N.Y. Supr. Ct. N.Y. Cnty.) (\$9.5 million settlement);
- *In re Endochoice Holdings, Inc., Sec. Litig.*, No. 2016 CV 277772 (Ga. Sup. Ct. Fulton Cnty) (\$8.5 million settlement);
- *Okla. Police Pension Fund & Ret. Sys. v. Jagged Peak Energy, Inc.*, No. 2017 CV 31757 (Colo. Dist. Ct., Denver Cnty.) (\$8.25 million settlement);
- *In re Netshoes Secs. Litig.*, No. 157435/2018 (N.Y. Sup. Ct. N.Y. Cnty.) (\$8 million settlement);
- *City of Omaha Police & Fire Ret. Sys. v. LHC Grp, Inc.*, No. 6:12-CV-01609 (W.D. La.) (\$7.85 million settlement);
- *In re Pac. Coast Oil Trust Secs. Litig.*, No. BC550418 (Cal. Sup. Ct. Los Angeles Cnty.) (\$7.6 million settlement);
- *In re Pacific Biosci. of C.A., Inc. Sec. Litig.* (Cal. Sup. Ct. San Mateo Cnty.) (\$7.6 million recovery);
- *Plymouth Cnty. Contributory Ret. Sys. v. Adamas Pharms., Inc.*, No. RG19018715 (Cal. Sup. Ct. Alameda Cnty.) (\$7.5M settlement);
- *St. Lucie Cnty. Fire Dist. Firefighters' Pens. Trust v. Southwestern Energy Co.*, No. 2016-70651 (Tex. Dist. Ct. Harris Cnty.) (\$7 million settlement); and



- *Mo-Kan Iron Workers Pension Fund v. Teligent, Inc.*, No. 1:19-cv-03354 (S.D.N.Y.) (\$6 million settlement).



SHAREHOLDER DERIVATIVE CASE EXAMPLES

Shareholder derivative actions where Scott+Scott currently serves in a sole or leadership role include:

- *In re Facebook Derivative Litig.*, Consol. No. 2018-0307 (Del. Ch.)
- *Evergreen Capital Mgmt. LLC v. Pacific Coast Energy Co. LP*, No. 20STCV26290 (Cal. Sup. Ct.)
- *In re Alphabet, Inc., S'holder Deriv. Litig.*, No. 3:21-cv-09388-RS (N.D. Cal.)
- *Lindsey v. Immelt*, Index No. 202019718 (N.Y. Sup. Ct.)
- *Bottoni v. Hernandez*, No. 20-cv-01442 (S.D.Tex.)
- *Savage v. Kotick*, No. 22STCV17478 (Cal. Sup. Ct.)
- *In re Exelon Corp. Deriv. Litig.*, No. 1:21-cv-03611 (N.D. Ill.)
- *Presura v. Casey*, (Del. Ch.)
- *Trimm v. Schultz*, (Wash. Sup. Ct., Kings County)
- *In re Abbott Laboratories Infant Formula Shareholder Deriv. Litig.*, No. 1:22-cv-05513 (N.D. Ill.)

Representative shareholder derivative actions litigated by Scott+Scott which have been successfully resolved include:

- *Irving Firemen's Relief & Ret. Fund v. Page*, C.A. No. 2019-0355-Sg (Del. Ch. 2020) (\$310 million in funding for corporate governance reform programs over 10 years);
- *In re DaVita Healthcare Partners Deriv. Litig.*, No. 13-cv-01308 (D. Colo.) (corporate governance reforms valued at \$100 million);
- *Buffalo Grove Police Pension Fund v. Diefenderfer*, No. 19-cv-00062 (E.D. Pa.) (claims vs. Navient Corp. officers & directors settled for corporate governance reforms valued at \$139 million);
- *Tharp v. Acacia Commc'ns, Inc.*, No. 1:17-cv-11504 (D. Mass.) (claims vs. company and corporate officers & directors settled for corporate governance reforms valued at \$57-\$71 million);



- *N. Miami Beach Gen. Emps. Ret. Fund v. Parkinson*, No. 10-cv-06514 (N.D. Ill.) (corporate governance reforms valued between \$50 and \$60 million);
- *In re Marvell Tech. Grp. Ltd. Deriv. Litig.*, No. 06-cv-03894 (N.D. Cal.) (\$54.9 million settlement and corporate governance reforms);
- *Rudi v. Wexner*, No. 2:20-cv-3068 (S.D. Ohio) (\$90 million in funding for corporate governance reform programs over at least 5 years);
- *In re Universal Health Servs., Inc. Derivative Litig.*, No. 2:17-cv-02187 (E.D. Pa.) (Settled for corporate governance reforms conservatively valued at \$110 million);
- *In re Altria Group, Inc. Deriv. Litig.*, Consol. No. 3:20-cv-00772 (E.D. Va.) (successfully resolved for corporate governance reforms with multi-year funding commitment of \$117 million);
- *In re Symantec Corp. S'holder Deriv. Litig.*, Consol. C.A. No. 2019-0224-JTL (Del. Ch.) (successfully resolved for \$12 million cash payment to company and corporate governance reforms); and
- *In re World Wrestling Ent., Inc. Deriv. Stockholder Litig.*, Consolidated C.A. No. 2023-0039-JTL (Del. Ch.)



ACCOLADES

U.S. News & World Report “Best Law Firms”

The Firm is currently ranked by U.S. News & World Report as a “Best Law Firm” in commercial litigation in the New York region.

American Antitrust Institute

The 2018 Antitrust Annual Report recognized *In re Foreign Currency Benchmark Rates Antitrust Litigation* as the #1 settlement of 2018, as well as ranking the Firm #1 nationally for aggregate settlements: 2013-2018.

Global Competition Review

At the 6th Annual Global Competition Review (“GCR”) Awards, Scott+Scott won for Litigation of the Year – Cartel Prosecution, which recognized the Firm’s efforts in the foreign exchange settlements in the United States, a landmark case in which major banks conspired to manipulate prices paid in the \$5.3 trillion-per-day foreign exchange market and have thus far settled for more than \$2 billion.

Law 360 Glass Ceiling Report

Scott+Scott is recognized as one of the top law firms in the nation for female attorneys by the legal publication Law360. The Glass Ceiling Report honors firms that “are demonstrating that the industry’s gender diversity goals can turn into a measurable result, and boost the number of women at all levels of a law firm.”^{1,2} This selection highlights the importance Scott+Scott places on diversity and inclusion within the Firm.

Center for Constitutional Rights

Scott+Scott was the recipient of the 2010 Center for Constitutional Rights’ Pro Bono Social Change Award for its representation of the Vulcan Society, an association of African-American firefighters, in challenging the racially discriminatory hiring practices of the New York City Fire Department.

¹ <https://www.law360.com/articles/1310926>

² <https://www.law360.com/articles/1162859/the-best-law-firms-for-female-attorneys>.



WORLD-CLASS ATTORNEYS

We pride ourselves on the caliber of legal talent on our team. In addition to some of the best and brightest rising stars, we have attorneys who have served with distinction in the U.S. Department of Justice, been admitted to the U.S. Supreme Court, served in OAGs at the state level, argued before the UK's CAT and High Courts, and received virtually every accolade offered in our profession.





ADMISSIONS

U.S. Admissions: United States Supreme Court; United States Courts of Appeal for the First, Second, Third, Fifth, Sixth, Seventh, Eighth, Ninth, Tenth, and Eleventh Circuits; United States District Courts for the Districts of California (Northern, Southern, Eastern, and Central), Colorado, Connecticut, Florida (Northern), Illinois (Northern), Massachusetts, Michigan (Eastern), Missouri (Eastern), New Jersey, New York (Southern, Eastern, and Western), Ohio (Northern and Southern), Pennsylvania (Eastern and Western), Texas (Northern, Western, and Southern), Wisconsin (Eastern and Western), and the District of Columbia; and the courts of the States of Arizona, California, Connecticut, Delaware, Florida, Maryland, Pennsylvania, Massachusetts, Nebraska, New Jersey, New York, Ohio, West Virginia, Wisconsin, Texas, and the District of Columbia.



ATTORNEY BIOGRAPHIES

DAVID R. SCOTT

PRACTICE EMPHASIS

Managing Partner David R. Scott represents multinational corporations, hedge funds, and institutional investors in high-stakes, complex litigation, including antitrust, commercial, and securities actions.

ADMISSIONS

States of New York, Pennsylvania, and Connecticut; United States Tax Court; United States Courts of Appeal: Second, Third, and Fifth Circuits; United States District Courts: Southern District of New York, Connecticut, Eastern District of Pennsylvania, Northern and Southern Districts of Texas, and Colorado

EDUCATION

New York University School of Law (LL.M. in taxation); Temple University School of Law (J.D., Moot Court Board, 1989); St. Lawrence University (B.A., cum laude, 1986)

HIGHLIGHTS

Mr. Scott is the Managing Partner of Scott+Scott with offices in New York, Amsterdam, London, Berlin, California, Connecticut, Virginia, Arizona, and Ohio.

In addition to managing the firm's lawyers worldwide, Mr. Scott advises some of the world's largest multinational corporations in cartel damages and other complex matters. He has been retained to design corporate policies for the global recoupment of losses, and transatlantic private enforcement programs.

He currently represents multinational companies and hedge funds in cases involving, among other things, price-fixing in the trucks, foreign exchange, high voltage power cables, cardboard, and payment card sectors.

Mr. Scott's antitrust cases in the United States have resulted in significant recoveries for victims of price-fixing cartels. Among other cases, Mr. Scott served as co-lead counsel in *Dahl v Bain Cap. Partners*, No. 1:07-cv-12388 (D. Mass.), an action alleging that the largest private equity firms in the United States colluded to suppress prices that shareholders received in leveraged buyouts and that the defendants recently agreed to settle for \$590.5 million. He was lead counsel in *Red Lion Med. Safety v. Ohmeda*, No. 06-cv-1010 (E.D. Cal.), a lawsuit alleging that Ohmeda, one of the leading manufacturers of medical anesthesia equipment in the United States, excluded



independent service organizations from the market for servicing its equipment. The case was successfully resolved in settlement negotiations before trial.

Mr. Scott has received widespread recognition for his antitrust and competition law work. He has been elected to Who's Who Legal: Competition 2015- 2020, which lists the world's top antitrust and competition law lawyers, selected based on comprehensive, independent survey work with both general counsel and lawyers in private practice around the world. He has also received a highly recommended ranking by Benchmark Litigation for each of the years 2013-2015. In addition, Mr. Scott is continually recognized in the U.S. by Best Lawyers and Super Lawyers.

In addition to his extensive competition law work, Mr. Scott has also taken the lead in bringing claims on behalf of institutional investors, such as sovereign wealth funds, corporate pension schemes, and public employee retirement funds. For example, he has been retained to pursue losses against mortgaged-backed securities trustees for failing to protect investors. He also represented a consortium of regional banks in litigation relating to toxic auction rate securities ("ARS") and obtained a sizable recovery for the banks in a confidential settlement. This case represents one of the few ARS cases in the country to be successfully resolved in favor of the plaintiffs.

Mr. Scott is frequently quoted in the press, including in publications such as The Financial Times, The Economist, The Guardian, The Daily Telegraph, The Wall Street Journal, and Law360. He is regularly invited to speak at conferences around the world and before Boards of Directors and trustees responsible for managing institutional investments.



JOHN T. JASNOCH

PRACTICE EMPHASIS

John Jasnoch's practice areas include securities and antitrust class actions, shareholder derivative actions, consumer protection, commercial contracts, intellectual property, and other complex, high stakes litigation.

ADMISSIONS

State Supreme Courts: California; United States District Courts: Southern, Central, and Northern Districts of California; United States Court of Appeal: Ninth Circuit

EDUCATION

University of Nebraska, College of Law (J.D., 2011); Creighton University (B.A., Political Science and International Relations, *cum laude*, 2007)

HIGHLIGHTS

John Jasnoch is a partner in the San Diego office. He represents clients in complex litigations in state and federal courts across the county. John has been counsel of record in numerous successful cases where Scott+Scott served in a leadership capacity, including: *In re LendingClub Corp. Shareholder Litigation*, No. CIV537300 (Cal. Super. Ct. San Mateo Cty) (\$125 million federal and state joint settlement); *In re King Digital Entertainment plc Shareholder Litigation*, No. CGC-15-544770, (Cal. Super. Ct. San Francisco Cty.) (\$18.5 million settlement); *In re FireEye, Inc. Securities Litigation*, No. 1:14-cv-266866 (Cal. Super. Ct. Santa Clara Cty.) (\$10.3 million settlement); *In re Pacific Coast Oil Trust Securities Litigation*, No. BC550418 (Cal. Super. Ct. Los Angeles Cty.) (\$7.6 million settlement); and *In re MobileIron, Inc., Shareholder Litigation*, No. 1-15-284001 (Cal. Super. Ct. Santa Clara Cty) (\$7.5 million settlement). John currently represents plaintiffs in a number of high profile cases, including *In re Lyft, Inc. Securities Litigation*, No. CGC 19-575293 (Cal. Super Ct. San Francisco Cty); *In re Uber Technologies Inc. Securities Litigation*, No. CGC 19-579544 (Cal. Super Ct. San Francisco Cty); *In re Slack Technologies, Inc. Shareholder Litigation*, No. 19-cv-5370 (Cal. Super Ct. San Mateo Cty); and *In re Google Assistant Privacy Litigation*, No. 19-cv-04286 (N.D. Cal.).

In 2015, Mr. Jasnoch was a member of the trial team in *Scorpio Music S.A. v. Victor Willis*, a landmark copyright jury trial concerning the copyright ownership of hit songs by The Village People. In that suit, Scott+Scott client and Village People lyricist Victor Willis obtained a declaratory judgment confirming his copyright termination and giving him a 50% copyright interest in "YMCA" and other classic Village People compositions. No. 11-cv-1557 (S.D. Cal.).



In 2020, Mr. Jasnoch was named as one of SuperLawyers' "Rising Stars" for Securities Litigation in the San Diego Area.

In his free time, John enjoys attending sporting events, trivia contests, fun runs, and other adventures with his wife Jennifer, sons James and Julius, and dog Jack.



WILLIAM C. FREDERICKS

PRACTICE EMPHASIS

William Fredericks' practice focuses primarily on litigating securities and other complex commercial class actions.

ADMISSIONS

New York state; United States Supreme Court; United States District Courts for the Southern and Eastern Districts of New York, and the District of Colorado; United States Courts of Appeal for the First, Second, Third, Sixth, and Tenth Circuits

EDUCATION

Columbia University Law School, (J.D., 1988); University of Oxford (M. Litt. in International Relations, 1985); Swarthmore College (B.A. in Political Science, high honors, 1983)

HIGHLIGHTS

Mr. Fredericks is a partner in the firm's New York office. In addition to serving as lead counsel on behalf of investors in several pending securities fraud actions (including cases against Uber, Evoqua Water Technologies and EndoChoice Holdings). Mr. Fredericks also represents investors in the pending FX antitrust litigation brought against over a dozen leading banks based on their involvement in manipulating foreign exchange ("FX") rates and spreads, and in pending proceedings relating to data security breaches at FaceBook, Inc.

Mr. Fredericks has represented investors as a lead or co-lead counsel for plaintiffs in dozens of securities class actions, including *In re Wachovia Preferred Securities and Bond/Notes Litigation* (S.D.N.Y.) (total settlements of \$627 million, reflecting the largest recovery ever in a pure Securities Act case not involving any parallel government fraud claims); *In re Rite Aid Securities Litigation* (E.D. Pa.) (total settlements of \$323 million, including the then-second largest securities fraud settlement ever against a Big Four accounting firm); *In re Sears Roebuck & Co. Sec. Litigation* (N.D. Ill.) (\$215 million settlement, representing the then-largest §10(b) class action recovery in an action that did not involve either a financial restatement or parallel government fraud claims); *In re State Street Bank and Trust Co. ERISA Litigation* (S.D.N.Y.) (one of the largest ERISA class settlements to date); *In re King Digital Sec. Enter. PLC Shareholder Litigation* (Super. Ct. San Fran. Cty.) (\$18.5 million settlement, representing one of the largest state court §11 class action recoveries to date); *Irvine v. ImClone Systems, Inc.* (S.D.N.Y.) (\$75 million §10b settlement); *In re Insulet Sec. Litigation* (D. Mass) (\$19.75 million §10b settlement), and *In re LendingClub Sec. Litigation* (\$125 million §10b and §11 settlement). A consortium of plaintiffs' counsel also chose Mr. Fredericks to present the



(successful) oral argument in opposition to defendants' efforts to dismiss (on grounds of standing) over fifteen separate securities fraud cases before a three judge panel in *In re Mutual Fund Investing Litigation* (see 519 F. Supp. 2d 580 (D. Md. 2007)), which later settled for a combined total of several hundred million dollars. Mr. Fredericks also played a leading role on the team that obtained a rare 9-0 decision for securities fraud plaintiffs in the U.S. Supreme Court in *Merck & Co., Inc. v. Reynolds* (which later settled for \$1.052 billion), and he has also co-authored amicus briefs on behalf of clients in a number of other Supreme Court cases (including *Halliburton*, *Amgen*, *ANZ Securities* and *Cyan*) involving various significant securities law issues.

Mr. Fredericks has also represented clients in litigating claims in federal bankruptcy court proceedings, and obtained substantial recoveries from a bankrupt corporation's officers, law firm and outside auditors on behalf of a court-appointed Trustee of a creditor's trust. See *In re Friedman's, Inc.*, 394 B.R. 623 (S.D. Ga. 2008). He also currently represents a class of large commercial customers of a bankrupt utility in breach of contract proceedings in *In re FirstEnergy Corp.*, pending before the U.S. Bankruptcy Court for the Northern District of Ohio.

At Columbia Law School, Mr. Fredericks was a three-time Harlan Fiske Stone Scholar, a Columbia University International Fellow, Articles Editor of *The Columbia Journal of Transnational Law*, and winner of Columbia's Beck Prize (property law), Toppan Prize (advanced constitutional law) and Greenbaum Prize (written advocacy). A three-judge panel chaired by the late Justice Antonin Scalia also awarded Mr. Fredericks the Thomas E. Dewey Prize for best oral argument in the final round of Columbia's Stone Moot Court Honor Competition. After clerking for the Hon. Robert S. Gawthrop III (E.D. Pa.) in Philadelphia, Mr. Fredericks spent seven years practicing securities and complex commercial litigation at Simpson Thacher & Bartlett LLP and Willkie Farr & Gallagher LLP in New York before moving to the plaintiffs' side of the bar in 1996.

Mr. Fredericks has been recognized in the 2012-21 editions of "America's Best Lawyers" in the field of commercial litigation, in "Who's Who in American Law" (Marquis), and in the New York City "Super Lawyers" listings for securities litigation (2013-21). In 2020 (inaugural) and 2021 he was named to the LawDragon 500 Lead Plaintiff Attorney list. He has been a frequent panelist on various securities litigation programs sponsored by the Practising Law Institute (PLI) – including ten years as a panelist on civil liabilities under the federal Securities Act – and has lectured overseas on American class action litigation on behalf of the American Law Institute/American Bar Association (ALI/ABA). He is also the former chairman of the New York City Bar Association's Committee on Military Affairs and Justice, and a member of the Federal Bar Council.



JONATHAN ZIMMERMAN

PRACTICE EMPHASIS

Jonathan Zimmerman's practice primarily focuses on identifying, investigating and initiating complex federal securities class actions on behalf of individual and institutional shareholders. He is also involved in multiple shareholder derivative actions and other complex commercial matters.

ADMISSIONS

States of New Jersey and Pennsylvania; United States District Courts: District of New Jersey and Eastern District of Pennsylvania

EDUCATION

Temple University, Beasley School of Law (J.D., 2016); McGill University, Desautels School of Management (Bachelor of Commerce, 2009)

REPRESENTATIVE CASES

- *In re SanDisk LLC Securities Litigation*, No. 3:15-CV-01455-VC (N.D. Cal.) (part of the team that recovered \$50 million in class action alleging violations of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934)
- *City of Birmingham Relief and Retirement System v. Hastings*, No. 5:18-cv-02107-BL (N.D. Cal.)

HIGHLIGHTS

Mr. Zimmerman is an associate in the New York office where he focuses on federal securities and shareholder derivative litigation. He is the Former Staff Editor of Temple's *International and Comparative Law Journal* and Recipient of Best Paper Award in Advanced Financial Regulations for his work entitled *Corporate Diversions: Short-Term Tax Savings at the Expense of Shareholder Rights* (Spring 2015).

Mr. Zimmerman is a former two-time All-Canadian collegiate lacrosse player and co-captain of McGill University's men's varsity team.



MOLLIE CHADWICK

PRACTICE EMPHASIS

Mollie Chadwick is a litigation associate in Scott+Scott's San Diego office specializing in securities litigation in both federal and state court. Currently, she is working on cryptocurrency class actions.

ADMISSIONS

State of California

EDUCATION

Whittier Law School (J.D., 2017); University of California, Santa Cruz (B.A., Politics & Legal Studies, 2011, Women's Water Polo 2007-2011, Captain 2009-2011)

HIGHLIGHTS

Mollie is an associate in our San Diego office where she focuses on federal securities litigation.

Prior to joining Scott+Scott, Mollie was an associate at a California plaintiff's employment law firm where she represented clients in wrongful termination, discrimination, and wage and hour cases.




Exhibit 12

Jonathan Gardner (admitted *pro hac vice*)
Alfred L. Fatale III (admitted *pro hac vice*)
Joseph N. Cotilletta (admitted *pro hac vice*)
Beth C. Khinchuk (admitted *pro hac vice*)
LABATON KELLER SUCHAROW LLP
140 Broadway
New York, New York 10005
Telephone: (212) 907-0700
Facsimile: (212) 818-0477
Email: jgardner@labaton.com
afatale@labaton.com
jcotilletta@labaton.com
bkhinchuk@labaton.com

Class Counsel for Plaintiffs and the Class

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION**

BOSTON RETIREMENT SYSTEM,

Plaintiff,

v.

UBER TECHNOLOGIES, INC., et al.,

Defendants.

Case No.: 3:19-cv-06361-RS

**DECLARATION OF GREGORY M. NESPOLE ON BEHALF OF
LEVI & KORSINSKY, LLP IN SUPPORT OF APPLICATION FOR AN AWARD OF
ATTORNEYS' FEES AND LITIGATION EXPENSES**

I, Gregory M. Nespole, declare as follows, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1746:

1. I am a partner with the law firm of Levi & Korsinsky, LLP ("L&K"). I submit this declaration in support of my firm's application for an award of attorneys' fees and expenses in

1 connection with services rendered in the above-entitled action (the “Action”) from the inception of
2 my firm’s involvement in the Action through September 20, 2024 (the “Time Period”).

3 2. My firm, which served as Liaison Counsel in the Action, was involved at various
4 stages of the litigation, which is described in the accompanying Declaration of Alfred L. Fatale III in
5 Support of (I) Class Representatives’ Motion for Final Approval of Class Action Settlement and Plan
6 of Allocation; and (II) Class Counsel’s Motion for an Award of Attorneys’ Fees and Payment of
7 Expenses, filed herewith.

8 3. In particular, my firm participated in the complex discovery process in this Action,
9 including the drafting and service of over forty subpoenas, voluminous document review, extensive
10 privilege and other discovery disputes, taking and defending depositions, making oral arguments on
11 discovery motions, and leading Class Representatives’ analysis and response to Defendants’ rideshare
12 industry expert.

13 4. The information in this declaration regarding my firm’s time and expenses is taken
14 from time and expense records prepared and maintained by the firm in the ordinary course of business.
15 These records (and backup documentation where necessary) were reviewed by me and others at my
16 firm, under my direction, to confirm both the accuracy of the entries as well as the necessity for and
17 reasonableness of the time and expenses committed to the Action. As a result of this review and the
18 adjustments made, I believe that the time reflected in the firm’s lodestar calculation and the expenses
19 for which payment is sought are reasonable in amount and were necessary for the effective and
20 efficient prosecution and resolution of the Action. In addition, I believe that the expenses are all of a
21 type that would normally be paid by a fee-paying client in the private legal marketplace.

22 5. After this review, the number of hours spent on the litigation by my firm is
23 2,827.30. The lodestar amount for attorney/professional support staff time based on the firm’s current
24 hourly rates is \$1,829,515.00 A summary of the lodestar is provided in Exhibit A and a breakdown
25 of the work associated with the lodestar, by task code, is provided in Exhibit B. The schedules were
26 prepared from daily time records regularly prepared and maintained by my firm, which are available
27 at the request of the Court. Time expended in preparing this application for fees and payment of
28 expenses has not been included. The hourly rates shown in Exhibit A are consistent with the hourly

1 rates submitted by the firm in other contingent securities class action litigations. The firm's rates are
 2 set based on periodic analysis of rates used by firms performing comparable work both on the plaintiff
 3 and defense side. For personnel who are no longer employed by the firm, the "current rate" used for
 4 the lodestar calculation is the rate for that person in his or her final year of employment with the firm.

5 6. As detailed in Exhibit C, my firm has incurred a total of \$121,141.17 in expenses in
 6 connection with the prosecution of the Action. The expenses are reflected on the books and records
 7 of my firm. These books and records are prepared from expense vouchers, check records, and other
 8 source materials and are an accurate record of the expenses incurred.

9 7. The following is additional information regarding certain of these expenses:

10 (a) Court & Service Fees: \$1,968.50. These expenses have been paid to attorney
 11 service firms in connection with service of process or to courts in connection with court filings.

12 (b) Litigation Support: \$1,358.21.

13 (i) Postage & Shipping: \$597.44. These expenses were paid for the
 14 shipping of courtesy copies of court filings and documents necessary for depositions.

15 (ii) Outside Printing & Photocopying: \$435.77. These expenses were paid
 16 for the printing of courtesy copies of court filings and documents necessary for depositions.

17 (iii) Document Hosting: \$325.00. This expense was paid to host discovery
 18 documents initially received by my firm for two months until a shared discovery database was created.

19 (c) Work-Related Transportation, Hotels & Meals: \$6,760.82. In connection with
 20 the prosecution of this case, the firm has paid for work-related transportation expenses, meals, and
 21 travel expenses related to, among other things, traveling to depositions.

22 (d) Legal & Factual Research: \$803.64. These expenses relate to the usage of
 23 electronic databases such as Westlaw, LexisNexis, and Courtlink and in connection with document
 24 requests made to federal agencies under the Freedom of Information Act ("FOIA"). Westlaw,
 25 LexisNexis, and Courtlink were used to obtain court records and perform legal research. The FOIA
 26 requests were filed to support my firm's factual investigation.

27 (e) Joint Litigation Expense Fund: \$110,250.00. My firm contributed
 28 \$110,250.00 to the Joint Litigation Expense Fund maintained by Class Counsel Labaton Keller

1 Sucharow LLP, which was established to manage the major expenses in the litigation. This fund is
2 explained in the declaration submitted by Labaton. My firm seeks reimbursement for its contributions
3 to the fund.

4 8. With respect to the standing of my firm, attached hereto as Exhibit D is a brief
5 biography of my firm as well as biographies of the firm's partners and of counsels.

6 I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed this 27th
7 day of September, 2024.

8 
9 Gregory M. Nespole
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

Exhibit A

*Uber Securities Litigation***EXHIBIT A****LODESTAR REPORT****FIRM:** LEVI & KORSINSKY, LLP**REPORTING PERIOD:** INCEPTION THROUGH SEPTEMBER 20, 2024

PROFESSIONAL	POSITION	CURRENT RATE	HOURS	LODESTAR
Nespole, Gregory	(P)	\$ 1,000.00	158.90	\$ 158,900.00
Tepper, Dan	(P)	\$ 1,000.00	284.30	\$ 284,300.00
Suk, Correy	(A)	\$ 750.00	937.40	\$ 703,050.00
Fenwick-Perry, Briggs	(A)	\$ 475.00	118.05	\$ 56,073.75
Messina, Ryan	(A)	\$ 500.00	28.70	\$ 14,350.00
Farrar, Leah	(DRA)	\$ 475.00	31.00	\$ 14,725.00
Gaskill, Warren	(DRA)	\$ 475.00	1,202.25	\$ 571,068.75
Sawyer, Shannon	(DRA)	\$ 475.00	35.80	\$ 17,005.00
King, Jenn	(PL)	\$ 325.00	6.55	\$ 2,128.75
Phillips, Samantha	(PL)	\$ 325.00	17.35	\$ 5,638.75
Westphalen, Arden	(PL)	\$ 325.00	7.00	\$ 2,275.00
TOTALS:			2,827.30	\$ 1,829,515.00

Partner (P)

Document Review Attorney (DRA)

Associate (A)

Paralegal (PL)

Exhibit B

*Uber Securities Litigation***EXHIBIT B****REPORT OF TIME BY TASK CATEGORIES****FIRM:** LEVI & KORSINSKY, LLP**REPORTING PERIOD:** INCEPTION THROUGH SEPTEMBER 20, 2024**Categories:**

- | | | |
|---|---------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| (1) Factual Investigation and Financial Research | (6) Court Appearances and Preparation | (11) Trial Preparation |
| (2) Draft Initial/Amended Complaint | (7) Experts/Consultants | (12) Appeal |
| (3) Discovery, Document Review and Fact Depositions | (8) Litigation Strategy/Analysis | (13) Client/Shareholder Communication |
| (4) Case Management | (9) Mediation/Settlement | |
| (5) Motions and Legal Research | (10) Class Certification | |

Name	Position	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	Total Hours	Current Rate	Lodestar
Nespole, Gregory	(P)	9.60	-	87.40	0.50	15.30	1.00	-	5.80	39.30	-	-	-	-	158.90	\$1,000.00	\$ 158,900.00
Tepper, Daniel	(P)	12.30	-	232.50	0.10	3.60	0.30	-	25.40	0.20	9.90	-	-	-	284.30	\$1,000.00	\$ 284,300.00
Fenwick-Perry, Briggs	(A)	49.35	-	68.70	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	118.05	\$475.00	\$ 56,073.75
Messina, Ryan	(A)	8.50	-	19.60	-	-	-	-	0.60	-	-	-	-	-	28.70	\$500.00	\$ 14,350.00
Suk, Correy	(A)	-	-	873.80	0.20	11.80	0.20	6.10	30.20	15.10	-	-	-	-	937.40	\$750.00	\$ 703,050.00
Farrar, Leah	(DRA)	-	-	31.00	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	31.00	\$475.00	\$ 14,725.00
Gaskill, Warren	(DRA)	-	-	1,202.25	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1,202.25	\$475.00	\$ 571,068.75
Sawyer, Shannon	(DRA)	-	-	35.80	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	35.80	\$475.00	\$ 17,005.00
King, Jenn	(PL)	0.40	-	3.15	-	-	-	-	-	3.00	-	-	-	-	6.55	\$325.00	\$ 2,128.75
Phillips, Samantha	(PL)	-	-	11.85	-	4.50	0.25	-	-	0.75	-	-	-	-	17.35	\$325.00	\$ 5,638.75
Westphalen, Arden	(PL)	-	-	6.50	-	0.50	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	7.00	\$325.00	\$ 2,275.00
TOTALS:		58.25	-	2,252.65	0.20	16.80	0.45	6.10	30.80	18.85	-	-	-	-	2,827.30		\$ 1,829,515.00

(P) Partner (DRA) Document Review Attorney

(A) Associate (PL) Paralegal

Exhibit C

*Uber Securities Litigation***EXHIBIT C****EXPENSE REPORT****FIRM:** LEVI & KORSINSKY, LLP**REPORTING PERIOD:** INCEPTION THROUGH SEPTEMBER 20, 2024

CATEGORY		TOTAL AMOUNT
Court & Service Fees		\$1,968.50
Litigation Support		\$1,358.21
Postage & Shipping	\$597.44	
Outside Printing & Photocopying	\$435.77	
Document Hosting	\$325.00	
Work-Related Transportation, Hotels & Meals		\$6,760.82
Legal & Factual Research		\$803.64
Contribution to Joint Litigation Expense Fund		\$110,250.00
TOTAL		\$121,141.17

Exhibit D

Uber Securities Litigation

EXHIBIT D

FIRM RESUME



LEVI&KORSINSKY
Shareholder Advocates

Firm Resume

**Representation.
Where & When you need it.**

New York

33 Whitehall Street
17th Floor
New York, NY 10004
Tel : 212-363-7500
Fax : 212-363-7171

Washington, D.C.

1101 Vermont Ave., NW
Suite 700
Washington, DC 20005
Tel: 202-524-4290
Fax: 202-333-2121

Connecticut

1111 Summer Street,
Suite 403
Stamford, CT 06905
Tel : 203-992-4523

Los Angeles

445 South Figueroa Street
31st Floor
Los Angeles, CA 90071
Tel: 213-985-7290

San Francisco

1160 Battery Street East,
Suite 100 - #3425
San Francisco, CA 94111
Tel: 415-373-1671
Fax: 415-484-1294

 Levi & Korsinsky, LLP

 Merger Alerts

 www.ZLK.com

About the Firm

Practice Areas

Securities Fraud Class Actions

Derivative, Corporate Governance &
Executive Compensation

Mergers & Acquisitions

Consumer Litigation

Our Attorneys

Managing Partners

- EDUARD KORSINSKY
 - JOSEPH E. LEVI
-

Partners

- ADAM M. APTON
- DONALD J. ENRIGHT
- SHANNON L. HOPKINS
- GREGORY M. NESPOLE
- NICHOLAS I. PORRITT
- GREGORY M. POTREPKA
- MARK S. REICH
- DANIEL TEPPER
- ELIZABETH K. TRIPODI

Counsel

- ANDREW E. LENCYK
 - COURTNEY E. MACCARONE
 - BRIAN STEWART
-

Senior Associates

- JORDAN A. CAFRITZ
 - MORGAN EMBLETON
 - DAVID C. JAYNES
 - CORREY A. SUK
-

Associates

- COLIN BROWN
 - AMANDA FOLEY
 - NOAH GEMMA
 - DEVYN R. GLASS
 - GARY ISHIMOTO
 - SIDHARTH KAKKAR
 - ALEXANDER KROT
 - MELISSA MEYER
 - CINAR ONEY
 - COLE VON RICHTHOFEN
 - MAX WEISS
-

Staff Attorneys

- KATHY AMES-VALDIVIESO
- KAROLINA CAMPBELL
- LEAH FARRAR
- CHRISTINA FUHRMAN
- RUBEN MARQUEZ

About the Firm

Levi & Korsinsky, LLP is a national law firm with decades of combined experience litigating complex securities, class, and consumer actions in state and federal courts throughout the country. Our main office is located in New York City and we also maintain offices in Connecticut, California, and Washington, D.C.

We represent the interests of aggrieved shareholders in class action and derivative litigation through the vigorous prosecution of corporations that have committed securities fraud and boards of directors who have breached their fiduciary duties. We have served as Lead and Co-Lead Counsel in many precedent-setting litigations, recovered hundreds of millions of dollars for shareholders via securities fraud lawsuits, and obtained fair value, multi-billion dollar settlements in merger transactions.

We also represent clients in high-stakes consumer class actions against some of the largest corporations in America. Our legal team has a long and successful track record of litigating high-stakes, resource-intensive cases and consistently achieving results for our clients.

Our attorneys are highly skilled and experienced in the field of securities class action litigation. They bring a vast breadth of knowledge and skill to the table and, as a result, are frequently appointed Lead Counsel in complex shareholder and consumer litigations in various jurisdictions. We are able to allocate substantial resources to each case, reviewing public documents, interviewing witnesses, and consulting with experts concerning issues particular to each case. Our attorneys are supported by exceptionally qualified professionals including financial experts, investigators, and administrative staff, as well as cutting-edge technology and e-discovery systems. Consequently, we are able to quickly mobilize and produce excellent litigation results. Our ability to try cases, and win them, results in substantially better recoveries than our peers.

We do not shy away from uphill battles – indeed, we routinely take on complex and challenging cases, and we prosecute them with integrity, determination, and professionalism.





LEVI&KORSINSKY
Shareholder Advocates

Practice Areas

- **Securities Fraud Class Actions**
- **Derivative, Corporate Governance & Executive Compensation**
- **Mergers & Acquisitions**
- **Consumer Litigation**



Securities Class Action

Over the last four years, Levi & Korsinsky has been lead, or co-lead counsel in over 50 securities class actions that have resulted in nearly \$200 million in recoveries for investors. The Firm is currently actively litigating as either sole or co-lead counsel securities class actions claiming billions of dollars in damages suffered by injured investors. Since 2020, Levi & Korsinsky has consistently ranked in the Top 10 in terms of number of settlements achieved for shareholders each year, according to reports published by ISS. In Lex Machina's Securities Litigation Report, Levi & Korsinsky ranked as one of the Top 5 Securities Firms for the period from 2018 to 2020. Law360 dubbed the Firm one of the "busiest securities firms" in what is "on track to be one of the busiest years for federal securities litigation" in 2018. Since 2019, Lawdragon Magazine has ranked multiple members of Levi & Korsinsky among the 500 Leading Plaintiff Financial Lawyers in America.

Some of the Firm's recent settlements include:

In **In re U.S. Steel Consolidated Cases**, No. 2:17-579-CB (W.D. Pa.), the Firm obtained a recovery of \$40 million on behalf of a certified class of U.S. Steel investors who sustained damages in connection with false and materially misleading statements about its Carnegie Way initiative. The settlement followed years of hard-fought discovery and class certification litigation.

In two related actions, **In re Nutanix, Inc. Securities Litigation**, No. 3:19-cv-01651-WHO (N.D. Cal.) and **John P. Norton, on Behalf of the Norton Family Living Trust UAD 11/15/2002 v. Nutanix, Inc., et. al.**, No. 3:21-cv-04080-WHO (N.D. Cal.), the Firm achieved a settlement providing for the payment of \$71 million to eligible class members. The case was based on false and misleading misstatements that allegedly concealed from shareholders Nutanix's rapidly declining sales pipeline, revenue, and billings.

As Lead Counsel in **In re Avon Products Inc. Securities Litigation**, No. 1:19-cv-1420-MKV (S.D.N.Y.), the Firm achieved a \$14.5 million cash settlement to successfully resolve claims alleged by a class of investors that the beauty company loosened its recruiting standards in its critical market in Brazil, eventually causing its stock price to crater. The case raised important issues concerning the use of confidential witnesses located abroad in support of scienter allegations and the scope of the attorney work product doctrine with respect to what discovery could be sought of confidential sources who are located in foreign countries.



Securities Class Action

In **Rougier v. Applied Optoelectronics, Inc.**, No. 4:17-cv-2399-GHC-CAB (S.D. Tex.), the Firm served as sole Lead Counsel, prevailed against Defendants' Motion to Dismiss, and achieved class certification before the Parties reached a settlement. The Court granted final approval of a \$15.5 million settlement on November 24, 2020.

In **Martin v. Altisource Residential Corp.**, No. 15-cv-00024 (AET) (GWC) (D.V.I.) the Firm acted as sole Lead Counsel and successfully defeated multiple motions to dismiss directed at the amended class complaints alleging that defendants misrepresented aspects of its relationship with mortgage servicer Ocwen Financial Corp. After engaging in substantial discovery, the Firm obtained a \$15.5 million recovery for the class of investors in Altisource Residential.

In **In re Illumina Inc. Securities Litigation**, No. 3:16-cv-3044-L-MSB (S.D. Cal.) the Firm acted as sole Lead Counsel and obtained a recovery of \$13.85 million for a class of Illumina investors who were misled by false and misleading statements concerning sales of its "Hiseq" sequencing instrument. Settlement followed successfully defeating Defendants' motion to dismiss and extensive discovery.



"Plaintiffs' selected Class Counsel, the law firm of Levi & Korsinsky, LLP, has demonstrated the zeal and competence required to adequately represent the interests of the Class. The attorneys at Levi & Korsinsky have experience in securities and class actions issues and have been appointed lead counsel in a significant number of securities class actions

The Honorable Christina Bryan in Rougier v. Applied Optoelectronics, Inc., No. 4:17-cv-02399-GHC-CAB (S.D. Tex. Nov. 13, 2019)

In **In Re Helios and Matheson Analytics, Inc. Sec. Litig.**, No. 1:18-cv-6965-JGK (S.D.N.Y.), the Firm served as sole Lead Counsel. Although the company had filed a voluntary Bankruptcy petition for liquidation and had numerous creditors (including private parties and various state and federal regulatory agencies), the Firm was able to reach a settlement. The settlement was obtained at a time when a motion to dismiss filed by the defendants was still pending and a risk to the Class. In its role as Lead Counsel, the Firm achieved a settlement of \$8.25 million on behalf of the class. The Court granted final approval of the settlement on May 13, 2021.



Securities Class Action

In **In re Navient Corp. Securities Litigation**, No. 17-cv-8373 (RBK/AMD) (D.N.J.), the Firm represented Navient investors misled about its loan servicing practices and compliance with regulatory requirements designed to protect customers with student loans. After obtaining class certification and moving for summary judgment against defendants, the Firm obtained a \$7.5 million recovery for the class.

In **Kirkland, et al. v. WideOpenWest, Inc.**, et al., Index No. 653248/2018 (N.Y. Sup.) the Firm was Co-Lead Counsel and achieved a settlement of \$7,025,000 for shareholders.



"I find the firm to be well-qualified to serve as Lead Counsel."

The Honorable Andrew L. Carter, Jr. In *Snyder v. Baozun Inc.*, No. 1:19-cv-11290-ALC-KNF (S.D.N.Y. Sept. 8, 2020)



Securities Class Action

Levi & Korsinsky has been appointed lead or co-lead counsel in the following securities actions:

- **Edward M. Doller v. Hertz Global Holdings, Inc. et al.**,
2:24-cv-00513-JLB-KCD (M.D. Fla. August 14, 2024)
- **Stephens v. Maplebear Inc., et al.**,
24-cv-00465-EJD (N.D. Cal. July 1, 2024)
- **Lucid Alternative Fund, LP v. Innoviz Technologies Ltd., et al.**,
1:24-cv-01971-AT (S.D.N.Y. June 4, 2024)
- **Ventrillo et al v. Paycom Software Inc et al**,
No. 5:23-cv-01019 (W.D. Okla. April 23, 2024)
- **Shih v. Amylyx Pharmaceuticals, Inc. et al**,
No. 1:24-cv-00988-AS (S.D.N.Y. April 17, 2024)
- **Olmstead v. Biovie, Inc. et al**,
No. 3:24-cv-00035-LRH-CSD (D. Nev. April 15, 2024)
- **Wilhite v. Expensify, Inc., et al.**,
No. 3:23-cv-01784-JR (D. Or. February 29, 2024)
- **Walling v. Generac Holdings, Inc., et al.**,
No. 3:23-cv-0808 (W.D. Wis. February 7, 2024)
- **Hubacek v. ON Semiconductor Corporation et al.**,
No. 1:23-cv-01429-GBW (D. Del. February 29, 2024)
- **Ragan v. Farfetch Limited, et al.**,
No. 8:23-cv-2857-MJM (D. Md. January 19, 2024)
- **Gurevitch v. KeyCorp et al.**,
No. 1:23-cv-01520-DCN (N.D. Ohio December 26, 2023)



In appointing the Firm Lead Counsel, the Honorable Analisa Torres noted our “extensive experience” in securities litigation.

White Pine Invs. v. CVR Ref., LP, No. 1:20-CV-2863-AT (S.D.N.Y. Jan. 5, 2021)

- **Lowe v. Tandem Diabetes Care, Inc. et al.**,
No. 3:23-cv-01657-H-BLM (S.D. Cal. December 5, 2023)
- **Perez v. Target Corporation et al.**,
No. 0:23-cv-00769-PJS-TNL (D. Minn. November 13, 2023)
- **Thant v. Rain Oncology Inc. et al.**,
No. 5:23-cv-03518-EJD (N.D. Cal. November 1, 2023)
- **Villanueva v. Proterra Inc. et al.**,
No. 5:23-cv-03519-BLF (N.D. Cal. October 23, 2023)
- **Martin v. BioXcel Therapeutics, Inc. et al.**,
No. 3:23-cv-00915-SVN (D. Conn. October 4, 2023)
- **Scott Petersen v. Stem, Inc., et al.**,
No. 3:23-cv-02329-MMC (N.D. Cal. August 22, 2023)
- **Solomon v. Peloton Interactive, Inc. et al.**,
No. 1:23-cv-04279-MKB-JRC (E.D.N.Y. September 7, 2023)
- **Thant v. Veru, Inc., et al.**,
No. 1:22-cv-23960-KMW (S.D. Fla. July 27, 2023)
- **Zhang V. Gaotu Techedu Inc., et al.**,
No. 1:22-cv-07966-PKC-CLP (E.D.N.Y. July 16, 2023)
- **Jaramillo v. Dish Network Corporation, et al.**,
No. 1:23-cv-00734-GPG-SKC (D. Colo. July 16, 2023)



Securities Class Action

- **Howard M. Rensin, Trustee Of The Rensin Joint Trust v. United States Cellular Corporation, et al.**,
No. 1:23-cv-02764-MMR (N.D. Ill. July 11, 2023)
- **Holland v. Rite Aid Corporation, et al.**,
No. 1:23-cv-00589-JG (N.D. Ohio June 22, 2023)
- **Baylor v. Honda Motor Co., Ltd., et al.**,
No. 2:23-cv-00794-GW-AGR (C.D. Cal. May 8, 2023)
- **Olsson v. PLDT Inc. et al.**,
No. 2:23-cv-00885-CJC-MAA (C.D. Cal. April 26, 2023)
- **Ryan v. FIGS, Inc. et al.**,
No. 2:22-cv-07939-ODW (C.D. Cal. February 14, 2023)
- **Schoen v. Eiger Biopharmaceuticals, Inc., et al.**,
No. 3:22-cv-6985-RS (N.D. Cal. February 3, 2023)
- **Fernandes v. Centessa Pharmaceuticals plc, et al.**,
No. 1:22-cv-08805-GHW-SLC (S.D.N.Y. December 12, 2022)
- **Gilbert v. Azure Power Global Limited, et al.**,
No. 1:22-cv-07432-GHW (S.D.N.Y. December 8, 2022)
- **Pugley v. Fulgent Genetics, Inc. et al.**,
No. 2:22-cv-06764-CAS-KLS (C.D. Cal. November 30, 2022)
- **Michalski v. Weber Inc., et al.**,
No. 1:22-cv-03966-EEB (N.D. Ill. November 29, 2022)
- **Edge v. Tupperware Brands Corporation, et al.**,
No. 6:22-cv-1518-RBD-LHP (M.D. Fla. September 16,



“I find the firm to be well-qualified to serve as Lead Counsel.”

The Honorable Andrew L. Carter, Jr. In *Snyder v. Baozun Inc.*, No. 1:19-CV-11290 (S.D.N.Y. Sept. 8, 2020)

2022)

- **Carpenter v. Oscar Health, Inc., et al.**,
No. 1:22-cv-03885-VSB-VF (S.D.N.Y. September 27, 2022)
- **In re Nano-X Imaging Ltd. Securities Litigation**,
No. 1:20-cv-04355-WFK-MMH (E.D.N.Y. August 30, 2022)
- **Patterson v. Cabaletto Bio, Inc., et al.**,
No. 2:22-cv-00737-JMY (E.D. Pa. August 10, 2022)
- **Rose v. Butterfly Network, Inc., et al.**,
No. 2:22-cv-00854-MEF-JBC (D.N.J. August 8, 2022)
- **Winter v. Stronghold Digital Mining, Inc., et al.**,
No. 1:22-cv-03088-RA (S.D.N.Y. August 4, 2022)
- **Poirer v. Bakkt Holdings, Inc.**,
No. 1:22-cv-02283-EK-PK (E.D.N.Y. August 3, 2022)
- **In re Meta Materials Inc. Securities Litigation**,
No. 1:21-cv-07203-CBA-JRC (E.D.N.Y. July 15, 2022)
- **Deputy v. Akebia Therapeutics, Inc. et al.**,
No. 1:22-cv-01411-AMD-VMS (E.D.N.Y. June 28, 2022)
- **In re Grab Holdings Limited Securities Litigation**,
No. 1:22-cv-02189-JLR (S.D.N.Y. June 7, 2022)
- **In re AppHarvest Securities Litigation**,
No. 1:21-cv-07985-LJL (S.D.N.Y. December 13, 2021)
- **In re Coinbase Global, Inc. Securities Litigation**,
No. 3:21-cv-05634-TLT (N.D. Cal. November 5, 2021)



Securities Class Action

- **Miller v. Rekor Systems, Inc. et al.**,
No. 1:21-cv-01604-GLR (D. Md. September 16, 2021)
- **Zaker v. Ebang International Holdings Inc. et al.**,
No. 1:21-cv-03060-KPF (S.D.N.Y. July 21, 2021)
- **Valdes v. Kandi Technologies Group, Inc. et al.**,
No. 2:20-cv-06042-LDH-AYS (E.D.N.Y. April 20, 2021)
- **John P. Norton, On Behalf Of The Norton Family Living Trust UAD 11/15/2002 V. Nutanix, Inc. Et Al**,
No. 3:21-cv-04080-WHO (N.D. Cal. September 8, 2021)
- **The Daniels Family 2001 Revocable Trust v. Las Vegas Sands Corp., et al.**,
No. 1:20-cv-08062-JMF (D. Nev. Jan. 5, 2021)
- **In re QuantumScape Securities Class Action Litigation**,
No. 3:21-cv-00058-WHO (N.D. Cal. April 20, 2021)
- **In re Minerva Neurosciences, Inc. Sec. Litig.**,
No. 1:20-cv-12176-GAO (D. Mass. March 5, 2021)
- **White Pine Investments v. CVR Refining, LP, et al.**,
No. 1:20-cv-02863-AT (S.D.N.Y. Jan. 5, 2021)
- **Yaroni v. Pintec Technology Holdings Limited, et al.**,
No. 1:20-cv-08062-JMF (S.D.N.Y. Dec. 15, 2020)
- **Nickerson v. American Electric Power Company, Inc., et al.**,
No. 2:20-cv-04243-SDM-EPD (S.D. Ohio Nov. 24, 2020)



“Class Counsel have demonstrated that they are skilled in this area of the law and therefore adequate to represent the Settlement Class as

The Honorable Barry Ted Moskowitz in In re Regulus Therapeutics Inc. Sec. Litig., No. 3:17-CV-182-BTM-RBB (S.D. Cal. Oct. 30, 2020)

- **Ellison v. Tufin Software Technologies Ltd., et al.**,
No. 1:20-cv-05646-GHW (S.D.N.Y. Oct. 19, 2020)
- **Hartel v. The GEO Group, Inc., et al.**,
No. 9:20-cv-81063-RS-SMM (S.D. Fla. Oct. 1, 2020)
- **Posey v. Brookdale Senior Living, Inc., et al.**,
No. 3:20-cv-00543-AAT (M.D. Tenn. Sept. 14, 2020)
- **Snyder v. Baozun Inc.**,
No. 1:19-cv-11290-ALC-KNF (S.D.N.Y. Sept. 8, 2020)
- **In re Dropbox Sec. Litig.**,
No. 5:19-cv-06348-BLF-SVK (N.D. Cal. Jan. 16, 2020)
- **Zhang v. Valaris plc**,
No. 1:19-cv-7816-NRB (S.D.N.Y. Dec. 23, 2019)
- **In re Sundial Growers Inc. Sec. Litig.**,
No. 1:19-cv-08913-ALC-SN (S.D.N.Y. Dec. 20, 2019)
- **Ferraro Family Foundation, Inc. v. Corcept Therapeutics Incorporated**,
No. 5:19-cv-1372-LHK-SVK (N.D. Cal. Oct. 7, 2019)
- **Roberts v. Bloom Energy Corp.**,
No. 4:19-cv-02935-HSG (N.D. Cal. Sept. 3, 2019)
- **Luo v. Sogou Inc.**,
No. 1:19-cv-00230-LJL (S.D.N.Y. Apr. 2, 2019)



Securities Class Action

- **In re Aphria Inc. Sec. Litig.,**
No. 1:18-cv-11376-GBD-JEW (S.D.N.Y. Mar. 27, 2019)
- **Chew v. MoneyGram International, Inc.,**
No. 1:18-cv-07537-MMP (N.D. Ill. Feb. 12, 2019)
- **Tung v. Dycom Industries, Inc.,**
No. 9:18-cv-81448-RS-WM (S.D. Fla. Jan. 11, 2019)
- **Guyer v. MGT Capital Investments, Inc.,**
No. 1:18-cv-09228-ER (S.D.N.Y. Jan. 9, 2019)
- **In re Adient plc Sec. Litig.,**
No. 1:18-cv-09116-RA (S.D.N.Y. Dec. 21, 2018)
- **In re Prothena Corp. plc Sec. Litig.,**
No. 1:18-cv-06425-ALC (S.D.N.Y. Oct. 31, 2018)
- **Pierrelouis v. Gogo Inc.,**
No. 1:18-cv-04473-JLA (N.D. Ill. Oct. 10, 2018)
- **Balestra v. Cloud With Me Ltd.,**
No. 2:18-cv-00804-MRH-LPL (W.D. Pa. Oct. 18, 2018)
- **Balestra v. Giga Watt, Inc.,**
No. 2:18-cv-00103-MKD (E.D. Wash. June 28, 2018)
- **Chandler v. Ulta Beauty, Inc.,**
No. 1:18-cv-01577-MMP (N.D. Ill. June 26, 2018)
- **In re Longfin Corp. Sec. Litig.,**
No. 1:18-cv-2933-DLC (S.D.N.Y. June 25, 2018)
- **Chahal v. Credit Suisse Group AG,**
No. 1:18-cv-02268-AT-SN (S.D.N.Y. June 21, 2018)

- **In re Bitconnect Sec. Litig.,**
No. 9:18-cv-80086-DMM-DLB (S.D. Fla. June 19, 2018)
- **In re Aqua Metals Sec. Litig.,**
No. 4:17-cv-07142-HSG (N.D. Cal. May 23, 2018)
- **Davy v. Paragon Coin, Inc.,**
No. 4:18-cv-00671-JSW (N.D. Cal. May 10, 2018)
- **Rensel v. Centra Tech, Inc.,**
No. 1:17-cv-24500-RNS-JB (S.D. Fla. Apr. 11, 2018)
- **Cullinan v. Cemtrex, Inc.**
No. 2:17-cv-01067-SJF-AYS (E.D.N.Y. Mar. 3, 2018)
- **In re Navient Corporation Sec. Litig.,**
No. 1:17-cv-08373-RBK-AMD (D.N.J. Feb. 2, 2018)
- **Huang v. Depomed, Inc.,**
No. 3:17-cv-04830-JST (N.D. Cal. Dec. 8, 2017)
- **In re Regulus Therapeutics Inc. Sec. Litig.,**
No. 3:17-cv-00182-BTM-RBB (S.D. Cal. Oct. 26, 2017)
- **Murphy III v. JBS S.A.,**
No. 1:17-cv-03084-ILG-RER (E.D.N.Y. Oct. 10, 2017)
- **Ohren v. Amyris, Inc.,**
No. 3:17-cv-002210-WHO (N.D. Cal. Aug. 8, 2017)
- **Beezley v. Fenix Parts, Inc.,**
No. 2:17-cv-00233-SRC-CLW (D.N.J. June 28, 2017)
- **M & M Hart Living Trust v. Global Eagle Entertainment, Inc.,**
No. 2:17-cv-01479-PA-MRW (C.D. Cal. June 26, 2017)
- **In re Insys Therapeutics, Inc.,**
No. 1:17-cv-1954-PAC (S.D.N.Y. May 31, 2017)



Securities Class Action

- **Clevlen v. Anthera Pharmaceuticals, Inc.,**
No. 3:17-cv-00715-RS (N.D. Cal. May 18, 2017)
- **In re Agile Therapeutics, Inc. Sec. Litig.,**
No. 3:17-cv-00119-AET-LHG (D.N.J. May 15, 2017)
- **Roper v. SITO Mobile Ltd.,**
No. 2:17-cv-01106-ES-MAH (D.N.J. May 8, 2017)
- **In re Illumina, Inc. Sec. Litig.,**
No. 3:16-cv-03044-JL-MSB (S.D. Cal. Mar. 30, 2017)
- **In re PTC Therapeutics, Inc.,**
No. 2:16-cv-01224-KM-MAH (D.N.J. Nov. 14, 2016)
- **The TransEnterix Investor Group v. TransEnterix, Inc.,**
No. 5:16-cv-00313-JCD (E.D.N.C. Aug. 30, 2016)
- **Gormley v. magicJack Vocaltec Ltd.,**
No. 1:16-cv-01869-VM (S.D.N.Y. July 12, 2016)
- **Azar v. Blount Int'l Inc.,**
No. 3:16-cv-00483-MHS (D. Or. July 1, 2016)
- **Plumley v. Sempra Energy,**
No. 3:16-cv-00512-RTB-AGS (S.D. Cal. June 6, 2016)
- **Francisco v. Abengoa, S.A.,**
No. 1:15-cv-06279-ER (S.D.N.Y. May 24, 2016)
- **De Vito v. Liquid Holdings Group, Inc.,**
No. 2:15-cv-06969-KM-JBC (D.N.J. Apr. 7, 2016)
- **Ford v. Natural Health Trends Corp.,**
No. 2:16-cv-00255-TJH-AFM (C.D. Cal. Mar. 29, 2016)

- **Levin v. Resource Capital Corp.,**
No. 1:15-cv-07081-LLS (S.D.N.Y. Nov. 24, 2015)
- **Martin v. Altisource Residential Corp.,**
No. 1:15-cv-00024-AET-GWC (D.V.I. Oct. 7, 2015)
- **Paggos v. Resonant, Inc.,**
No. 2:15-cv-01970-SJO-MRW (C.D. Cal. Aug. 7, 2015)
- **Fragala v. 500.com Ltd.,**
No. 2:15-cv-01463-JFW-CFE (C.D. Cal. July 7, 2015)
- **Stevens v. Quiksilver Inc.,**
No. 8:15-cv-00516-JVS-JCG (C.D. Cal. June 26, 2015)
- **In re Ocean Power Technologies, Inc. Sec. Litig.,**
No. 3:14-cv-3799-FLW-LHG (D.N.J. Mar. 17, 2015)
- **In re Energy Recovery Inc. Sec. Litig.,**
No. 3:15-cv-00265-EMC-LB (N.D. Cal. Jan. 20, 2015)
- **Ford v. TD Ameritrade Holding Corporation, et al.,**
No. 8:14-cv-00396-JFB-SMB (D. Neb. Dec. 2, 2014)

“ The Court of Chancery approved the settlement on April 4, 2024, and remarked that it was “strong” and a “great settlement.”

Vice Chancellor Lori W. Will in *Karsan Value Fund v. Kostecki Brokerage Pty, Ltd. et al.*, Case No. C.A. No. 2021-0899-LWW (Delaware Chancery)



Securities Class Action

- **In re China Commercial Credit Sec. Litig.,**
No. 1:15-cv-00557-ALC (D.N.J. Oct. 31, 2014)
- **In re Violin Memory, Inc. Sec. Litig.,**
No. 4:13 cv-05486-YGR (N.D. Cal. Feb. 26, 2014)
- **Berry v. KiOR, Inc.,**
No. 4:13-cv-02443-LHR (S.D. Tex. Nov. 25, 2013)
- **In re OCZ Technology Group, Inc. Sec. Litig.,**
No. 3:12-cv-05265-RS (N.D. Cal. Jan. 4, 2013)
- **In re Digital Domain Media Group, Inc. Sec. Litig.,**



Derivative, Corporate Governance & Executive Compensation

As a leader in achieving important corporate governance reforms for the benefit of shareholders, the Firm protects shareholders by enforcing the obligations of corporate fiduciaries. Our efforts include the prosecution of derivative actions in courts around the country, making pre-litigation demands on corporate boards to investigate misconduct, and taking remedial action for the benefit of shareholders. In situations where a company's board responds to a demand by commencing its own investigation, we frequently work with the board's counsel to assist with and monitor the investigation, ensuring that the investigation is thorough and conducted in an appropriate manner.

We have also successfully prosecuted derivative and class action cases to hold corporate executives and board members accountable for various abuses and to help preserve corporate assets through longlasting and meaningful corporate governance changes, thus ensuring that prior misconduct does not reoccur. We have extensive experience challenging executive compensation and recapturing assets for the benefit of companies and their shareholders. We have secured corporate governance changes to ensure that executive compensation is consistent with shareholder-

approved compensation plans, company performance, and federal securities laws.

In **Franchi v. Barabe**, No. 2020-0648-KSJM (Del. Ch.), the Firm secured \$6.7 million in economic benefits for Selecta Biosciences, Inc. in connection with insiders' participation in a private placement while in possession of material non-public information as well as the adoption of significant governance reforms designed to prevent a recurrence of the alleged misconduct.

The Firm was lead counsel in the derivative action styled **Police & Retirement System of the City of Detroit et al. v. Robert Greenberg et al.**, C.A No. 2019-0578-MTZ (Del. Ch.). The action resulted in a settlement where Skechers Inc. cancelled approximately \$20 million in equity awards issued to Skechers' founder Robert Greenberg and two top officers in 2019 and 2020. Also, under the settlement, Skechers' board of directors must retain a consultant to advise on compensation decisions going forward.



Derivative, Corporate Governance & Executive Compensation

In **In re Google Inc. Class C Shareholder Litigation**, C.A. No. 7469-CS (Del. Ch.), we challenged a stock recapitalization transaction to create a new class of nonvoting shares and strengthen the corporate control of the Google founders. We helped achieve an agreement that provided an adjustment payment to existing shareholders harmed by the transaction as well as providing enhanced board scrutiny of the Google founders' ability to transfer stock. Ultimately, Google's shareholders received payments of \$522 million.

In **In re Activision, Inc. Shareholder Derivative Litigation**, No. 06-cv-04771-MRP-JTL (C.D. Cal.), we were Co-Lead Counsel and challenged executive compensation related to the dating of options. This effort resulted in the recovery of more than \$24 million in excessive compensation and expenses, as well as the implementation of substantial corporate governance changes.

“...a model for how [the] great legal profession should conduct itself.”

Justice Timothy S. Driscoll in *Grossman v. State Bancorp, Inc.*, Index No. 600469/2011 (N.Y. Sup. Ct. Nassau Cnty. Nov. 29, 2011)

In **Pfeiffer v. Toll** (Toll Brothers Derivative Litigation), No. 4140-VCL (Del. Ch.), we prevailed in defeating defendants' motion to dismiss in a case seeking disgorgement of profits that company insiders reaped through a pattern of insider-trading. After extensive discovery, we secured a settlement returning \$16.25 million in cash to the company, including a significant contribution from the individuals who traded on inside information.

In **Rux v. Meyer**, No. 11577-CB (Del. Ch.), we challenged the re-purchase by Sirius XM of its stock from its controlling stockholder, Liberty Media, at an inflated, above-market price. After defeating a motion to dismiss and discovery, we obtained a settlement where SiriusXM recovered \$8.25 million, a substantial percentage of its over-payment.

In **In re EZCorp Inc. Consulting Agreement Derivative Litig.**, C.A. No. 9962-VCL (Del. Ch.), we challenged lucrative consulting agreements between EZCorp and its controlling stockholders. After surviving multiple motions to dismiss. We obtained a settlement where EZCorp was repaid \$6.45 million it had paid in consulting fees, or approximately 33% of the total at issue and the consulting agreements were discontinued.



Derivative, Corporate Governance & Executive Compensation

In **Scherer v. Lu** (Diodes Incorporated), No. 13-358-GMS (D. Del.), we secured the cancellation of \$4.9 million worth of stock options granted to the company's CEO in violation of a shareholder-approved plan, and obtained additional disclosures to enable shareholders to cast a fully informed vote on the adoption of a new compensation plan at the company's annual meeting.

In **MacCormack v. Groupon, Inc.**, No. 13-940-GMS (D. Del.), we caused the cancellation of \$2.3 million worth of restricted stock units granted to a company executive in violation of a shareholder-approved plan, as well as the adoption of enhanced corporate governance procedures designed to ensure that the board of directors complies with the terms of the plan; we also obtained additional material disclosures to shareholders in connection with a shareholder vote on amendments to the plan.

In **Edwards v. Benson** (Headwaters Incorporated), No. 13-cv-330 (D. Utah), we caused the cancellation of \$3.2 million worth of stock appreciation rights granted to the company's CEO in violation of a shareholder-approved plan and the adoption of enhanced corporate governance procedures designed to ensure that the board of directors complies with the terms of the plan.

In **Pfeiffer v. Begley** (DeVry, Inc.), No. 12-CH-5105 (Ill. Cir. Ct. DuPage Cty.), we secured the cancellation of \$2.1 million worth of stock options granted to the company's CEO in 2008-2012 in violation of a shareholder-approved incentive plan.

In **Basch v. Healy** (EnerNOC), No. 13-cv-766 (D. Del.), we obtained a cash payment to the company to compensate for equity awards issued to officers in violation of the company's compensation plan and caused significant changes in the company's compensation policies and procedures designed to ensure that future compensation decisions are made consistent with the company's plans, charters and policies. We also impacted the board's creation of a new compensation plan and obtained additional disclosures to stockholders concerning the board's administration of the company's plan and the excess compensation.

In **Kleba v. Dees**, No. 3-1-13 (Tenn. Cir. Ct. Knox Cty.), we recovered approximately \$9 million in excess compensation given to insiders and the cancellation of millions of shares of stock options issued in violation of a shareholder-approved compensation plan. In addition, we obtained the adoption of formal corporate governance procedures designed to ensure that future compensation decisions are made independently and consistent with the plan.



Derivative, Corporate Governance & Executive Compensation

In **Lopez v. Nudelman** (CTI BioPharma Corp.), No. 14-2-18941-9 SEA (Wash. Super. Ct. King Cty.), we recovered approximately \$3.5 million in excess compensation given to directors and obtained the adoption of a cap on director compensation, as well as other formal corporate governance procedures designed to implement best practices with regard to director and executive compensation.

In **In re Corinthian Colleges, Inc. Shareholder Derivative Litigation**, No. 06-cv-777-AHS (C.D. Cal.), we were Co-Lead Counsel and achieved a \$2 million benefit for the company, resulting in the re-pricing of executive stock options and the establishment of extensive corporate governance changes.

In **In re Corinthian Colleges, Inc. Shareholder Derivative Litigation**, No. 06-cv-777-AHS (C.D. Cal.), we were Co-Lead Counsel and achieved a \$2 million benefit for the company, resulting in the re-pricing of executive stock options and the establishment of extensive corporate governance changes.

In **Pfeiffer v. Alpert (Beazer Homes Derivative Litigation)**, No. 10-cv-1063-PD (D. Del.), we successfully challenged certain aspects of the company's executive compensation structure, ultimately forcing the company to improve its compensation practices.

In **In re Cincinnati Bell, Inc., Derivative Litigation**, No. A1105305 (Ohio, Hamilton Cty. C.P.), we achieved significant corporate governance changes and enhancements related to the company's compensation policies and practices in order to better align executive compensation with company performance. Reforms included the formation of an entirely independent compensation committee with staggered terms and term limits for service.

In **Woodford v. Mizel** (M.D.C. Holdings, Inc.), No. 1:11-cv-879 (D. Del.), we challenged excessive executive compensation, ultimately obtaining millions of dollars in reductions of that compensation, as well as corporate governance enhancements designed to implement best practices with regard to executive compensation and increased shareholder input.



Mergers & Acquisitions

Levi & Korsinsky has achieved an impressive record in obtaining injunctive relief for shareholders, and we are one of the premier law firms engaged in mergers & acquisitions and takeover litigation, consistently striving to maximize shareholder value. In these cases, we regularly fight to obtain settlements that enable the submission of competing buyout bid proposals, thereby increasing consideration for shareholders.

We have litigated landmark cases that have altered the landscape of mergers & acquisitions law and resulted in multi-million dollar awards to aggrieved shareholders.

In **In re Schuff International, Inc. Stockholders Litigation**, No. 10323-VCZ (Del. Ch.), we served as Co-Lead Counsel for the plaintiff class in achieving the largest recovery as a percentage of the underlying transaction consideration in Delaware Chancery Court merger class action history, obtaining an aggregate recovery of more than \$22 million -- a gross increase from \$31.50 to \$67.45 in total consideration per share (a 114% increase) for tendering stockholders.

“ Vice Chancellor Sam Glasscock, III said “it’s always a pleasure to have counsel who are articulate and exuberant...” and referred to our approach to merger litigation as “wholesome” and “a model of... plaintiffs’ litigation in the merger arena.”

Ocieczanek v. Thomas Properties Group, C.A. No. 9029-VCG (Del. Ch. May 15, 2014)

In **In re Bluegreen Corp. Shareholder Litigation**, No. 502011CA018111 (Cir. Ct. for Palm Beach Cty., FL), as Co-Lead Counsel, we achieved a common fund recovery of \$36.5 million for minority shareholders in connection with a management-led buyout, increasing gross consideration to shareholders in connection with the transaction by 25% after three years of intense litigation.

In **In re CNX Gas Corp. Shareholder Litigation**, No. 5377-VCL (Del. Ch.), as Plaintiffs’ Executive Committee Counsel, we obtained a landmark ruling from the Delaware Chancery Court that set forth a unified standard for assessing the rights of shareholders in the context of freeze-out transactions and ultimately led to a common fund recovery of over \$42.7 million for the company’s shareholders.



Mergers & Acquisitions

In **Chen v. Howard-Anderson**, No. 5878-VCL (Del. Ch.), we represented shareholders in challenging the merger between Occam Networks, Inc. and Calix, Inc., obtaining a preliminary injunction against the merger after showing that the proxy statement by which the shareholders were solicited to vote for the merger was materially false and misleading. Post-closing, we took the case to trial and recovered an additional \$35 million for the shareholders.

In **In re Sauer-Danfoss Stockholder Litig.**, No. 8396 (Del. Ch.), as one of plaintiffs' co-lead counsel, we recovered a \$10 million common fund settlement in connection with a controlling stockholder merger transaction.

In **In re Yongye International, Inc. Shareholders' Litigation**, No. A-12-670468-B (District Court, Clark County, Nevada), as one of plaintiffs' co-lead counsel, we recovered a \$6 million common fund settlement in connection with a management-led buyout of minority stockholders in a China-based company incorporated under Nevada law.

In **In re Great Wolf Resorts, Inc. Shareholder Litigation**, No. 7328-VCN (Del. Ch.), we achieved tremendous results for shareholders, including partial responsibility for a \$93 million (57%) increase in merger consideration and the waiver of several "don't-ask-don't-waive" standstill agreements that were restricting certain potential bidders from making a topping bid for the company.

In **In re Talecris Biotherapeutics Holdings Shareholder Litigation**, C.A. No. 5614-VCL (Del. Ch.), we served as counsel for one of the Lead Plaintiffs, achieving a settlement that increased the merger consideration to Talecris shareholders by an additional 500,000 shares of the acquiring company's stock and providing shareholders with appraisal rights.

In **In re Minerva Group LP v. Mod-Pac Corp.**, Index No. 800621/2013 (N.Y. Sup. Ct. Erie Cty.), we obtained a settlement in which defendants increased the price of an insider buyout from \$8.40 to \$9.25 per share, representing a recovery of \$2.4 million for shareholders.

In **Stephen J. Dannis v. J.D. Nichols**, No. 13-CI-00452 (Ky. Cir. Ct. Jefferson Cty.), as Co-Lead Counsel, we obtained a 23% increase in the merger consideration (from \$7.50 to \$9.25 per unit) for shareholders of NTS Realty Holdings Limited Partnership. The total benefit of \$7.4 million was achieved after two years of hard-fought litigation, challenging the fairness of the going-private, squeeze-out merger by NTS's controlling unitholder and Chairman, Defendant Jack Nichols. The unitholders bringing the action alleged that Nichols' proposed transaction grossly undervalued NTS's units. The 23% increase in consideration was a remarkable result given that on October 18, 2013, the Special Committee appointed by the Board of Directors had terminated the existing merger agreement with Nichols. Through counsel's tenacious efforts the transaction was resurrected and improved.



Mergers & Acquisitions

In **Dias v. Purches**, No. 7199-VCG (Del. Ch.), Vice Chancellor Sam Glasscock, III of the Delaware Chancery Court partially granted shareholders' motion for preliminary injunction and ordered that defendants correct a material misrepresentation in the proxy statement related to the acquisition of Parlux Fragrances, Inc. by Perfumania Holding, Inc.

In **In re Complete Genomics, Inc. Shareholder Litigation**, No. 7888-VCL (Del. Ch.), we obtained preliminary injunctions of corporate merger and acquisition transactions, and Plaintiffs successfully enjoined a "don't-ask-don't-waive" standstill agreement.

In **In re Pamrapo Bancorp Shareholder Litigation**, Docket C-89-09 (N.J. Ch. Hudson Cty.) & HUD-L-3608- 12 (N.J. Law Div. Hudson Cty.), we defeated defendants' motion to dismiss shareholders' class action claims for money damages arising from the sale of Pamrapo Bancorp to BCB Bancorp at an allegedly unfair price through an unfair process. We then survived a motion for summary judgment, ultimately securing a settlement recovering \$1.95 million for the Class plus the Class's legal fees and expenses up to \$1 million (representing an increase in consideration of 15-23% for the members of the Class).

In **Forgo v. Health Grades, Inc.**, No. 5716-VCS (Del. Ch.), as Co-Lead Counsel, our attorneys established that defendants had likely breached their fiduciary duties to Health Grades' shareholders by failing to maximize value as required under *Revlon, Inc. v. MacAndrews & Forbes Holdings, Inc.*, No. 506 A.2d 173 (Del. 1986). We secured an agreement with defendants to take numerous steps to seek a superior offer for the company, including making key modifications to the merger agreement, creating an independent committee to evaluate potential offers, extending the tender offer period, and issuing a "Fort Howard" release affirmatively stating that the company would participate in good faith discussions with any party making a bona fide acquisition proposal.

In **In re Integrated Silicon Solution, Inc. Stockholder Litigation**, No. 115CV279142 (Super. Ct. Santa Clara, Cal.), we won an injunction requiring corrective disclosures concerning "don't-ask-don't-waive" standstill agreements and certain financial advisor conflicts of interests, and contributed to the integrity of a post-agreement bidding contest that led to an increase in consideration from \$19.25 to \$23 per share, a bump of almost 25 percent.

“I think you've done a superb job and I really appreciate the way this case was handled.”

Justice Timothy S. Driscoll in *Grossman v. State Bancorp, Inc.*, Index No. 600469/2011 (N.Y. Sup. Ct. Nassau Cnty. Nov. 29, 2011)



Consumer Litigation

Levi & Korsinsky works hard to protect consumers by holding corporations accountable for defective products, false and misleading advertising, unfair or deceptive business practices, antitrust violations, and privacy right violations.

Our litigation and class action expertise combined with our in-depth understanding of federal and state laws enable us to fight for consumers who have been aggrieved by deceptive and unfair business practices and who purchased defective products, including automobiles, appliances, electronic goods, and other consumer products. The Firm also represents consumers in cases involving data breaches and privacy right violations. The Firm's attorneys have received a number of leadership appointments in consumer class action cases, including multidistrict litigation ("MDL"). Recently, Law.com identified the Firm as one of the top firms with MDL leadership appointments in the article titled, "There Are New Faces Leading MDLs. And They Aren't All Men" (July 6, 2020). Representative settled and ongoing cases include:

In **NV Security, Inc. v. Fluke Networks**, No. CV05-4217 GW (SSx) (C.D. Cal. 2005), we negotiated a settlement on behalf of purchasers of Test Set telephones in an action alleging that the Test Sets contained a defective 3-volt battery. We benefited the consumer class by obtaining the following relief: free repair of the 3-volt battery, reimbursement for certain prior repair, an advisory concerning the 3-volt battery on the outside of packages of new Test Sets, an agreement that defendants would cease to market and/or sell certain Test Sets, and a 42-month warranty on the 3-volt battery contained in certain devices sold in the future.

In re: **Apple Inc. Device Performance Litig.**, No. 5:18-md-02827-EJD (N.D. Cal.): Plaintiffs' Executive Committee Counsel in proposed nationwide class action alleging that Apple purposefully throttled iPhone; Apple has agreed to pay up to \$310 million in cash (proposed settlement pending).

In re: **Intel Corp. CPU Marketing, Sales Practices and Products Liability Litig.**, No. 3:18-MD-02828 (D. Or.): Co-Lead Interim Class Counsel in proposed nationwide class action alleging that Intel manufactured and sold defective central processing units that allowed unauthorized access to consumer stored confidential information.



Consumer Litigation

In re: ZF-TRW Airbag Control Units Products Liability Litig., No. 2:19-ML-02905-JAK-FFM (C.D. Cal.): Plaintiffs' Steering Committee Counsel in proposed nationwide class action alleging that defendant auto manufacturers sold vehicles with defective airbags.

In re: EpiPen (Epinephrine Injection, USP) Marketing, Sales Practices and Antitrust Litig., No. 2:17-MD-02785 (D. Kan.): Plaintiffs' Executive Committee Counsel in action alleging that Mylan and Pfizer violated antitrust laws and committed other violations relating to the sale of EpiPens. Nationwide class and multistate classes certified.

Sung, et al. v. Schurman Retail Group, No. 3:17-cv-02760-LB (N.D. Cal.): Co-Lead Class Counsel in nationwide class action alleging unauthorized disclosure of employee financial information; obtained final approval of nationwide class action settlement providing credit monitoring and identity theft restoration services through 2022 and cash payments of up to \$400.

Scott, et al. v. JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A., No. 1:17-cv-00249-APM (D.D.C.): Co-Lead Class Counsel in nationwide class action settlement of claims alleging improper fees deducted from payments awarded to jurors; 100% direct refund of improper fees collected.

In re: Citrix Data Breach Litig., No. 19-cv-61350-RKA-PMH (S.D. Fla.): Interim Class Counsel in action alleging company failed to implement reasonable security measures to protect employee financial information; common fund settlement of \$2.25 million pending.

Bustos v. Vonage America, Inc., No. 2:06-cv-2308-HAA-ES (D.N.J.): Common fund settlement of \$1.75 million on behalf of class members who purchased Vonage Fax Service in an action alleging that Vonage made false and misleading statements in the marketing, advertising, and sale of Vonage Fax Service by failing to inform consumers that the protocol defendant used for the Vonage Fax Service was unreliable and unsuitable for facsimile communications.

Masterson v. Canon U.S.A., No. BC340740 (Cal. Super. Ct. L.A. Cty.): Settlement providing refunds to Canon SD camera purchasers for certain broken LCD repair charges and important changes to the product warranty.



LEVI&KORSINSKY
Shareholder Advocates

Our Attorneys

Managing Partners

- **EDUARD KORSINSKY**
- **JOSEPH E. LEVI**

EDUARD KORSINSKY

Managing Partner



Eduard Korsinsky is the Managing Partner and Co-Founder of Levi & Korsinsky, LLP, a national securities firm that has recovered billions of dollars for investors since its formation in 2003. For more than 24 years Mr. Korsinsky has represented investors and institutional shareholders in complex securities matters. He has achieved significant recoveries for stockholders, including a \$79 million recovery for investors of E-Trade Financial Corporation and a payment ladder indemnifying investors of Google, Inc. up to \$8 billion in losses on a ground-breaking corporate governance case. His firm serves as lead counsel in some of the largest securities matters involving Tesla, US Steel, Kraft Heinz and others. He has been named a New York "Super Lawyer" by Thomson Reuters and is recognized as one of the country's leading practitioners in class action and derivative matters.

Mr. Korsinsky is also a co-founder of CORE Monitoring Systems LLC, a technology platform designed to assist institutional clients more effectively monitor their investment portfolios and maximize recoveries on securities litigation.

Cases he has litigated include:

- **E-Trade Financial Corp. Sec. Litig.**, No. 07-cv-8538 (S.D.N.Y. 2007), \$79 million recovery
- **In re Activision, Inc. S'holder Derivative Litig.**, No. 06-cv-04771-MRP (JTLX)(C.D. Cal. 2006), recovered \$24 million in excess compensation
- **Corinthian Colleges, Inc., S'holder Derivative Litig.**, No. SACV-06-0777-AHS (C.D. Cal. 2009), obtained repricing of executive stock options providing more than \$2 million in benefits to the company
- **Pfeiffer v. Toll**, No. 4140-VCL (Del. Ch. 2010), \$16.25 million in insider trading profits recovered
- **In re Net2Phone, Inc. S'holder Litig.**, No. 1467-N (Del. Ch. 2005), obtained increase in tender offer price from \$1.70 per share to \$2.05 per share
- **In re Pamrapo Bancorp S'holder Litig.**, No. C-89-09 (N.J. Ch. Hudson Cty. 2011) & No. HUD-L-3608-12 (N.J. Law Div. Hudson Cty. 2015), obtained supplemental disclosures following the filing of a motion for preliminary injunction, pursued case post-closing, secured key rulings on issues of first impression in New Jersey and defeated motion for summary judgment

EDUARD KORSINSKY

Managing Partner

Cases he has litigated include:

- **In re Google Inc. Class C S'holder Litig.**, No. 19786 (Del. Ch. 2012), obtained payment ladder indemnifying investors up to \$8 billion in losses stemming from trading discounts expected to affect the new stock
- **Woodford v. M.D.C. Holdings, Inc.**, No. 1:2011cv00879 (D. Del. 2012), one of a few successful challenges to say on pay voting, recovered millions of dollars in reductions to compensation

PUBLICATIONS

- "Board Diversity: The Time for Change is Now, Will Shareholders Step Up?," National Council on Teacher Retirement. FYI Newsletter May 2021
- "The Dangers of Relying on Custodians to Collect Class Action Settlements," The Texas Association of Public Employee Retirement Systems (TEXPERS) Investment Insights April-May Edition (2021)
- "The Dangers of Relying on Custodians to Collect Class Action Settlements," Michigan Association of Public Employee Retirement Systems (MAPERS) Newsletter (2021)
- "The Dangers of Relying on Custodians to Collect Class Action Settlements," Florida Public Pension Trustees Association (FPPTA) (2021)
- "NY Securities Rulings Don't Constitute Cyan Backlash", Law360 (March 8, 2021)
- "Best Practices for Monitoring Your Securities Portfolio in 2021.", Building Trades News Newsletter (2020-2021)

- **Pfeiffer v. Alpert (Beazer Homes)**, No. 10-cv-1063-PD (D. Del. 2011), obtained substantial revisions to an unlawful executive compensation structure
- **In re NCS Healthcare, Inc. Sec. Litig.**, No. CA 19786, (Del. Ch. 2002), case settled for approximately \$100 million
- **Paraschos v. YBM Magnex Int'l, Inc.**, No. 98-CV-6444 (E.D. Pa.), United States and Canadian cases settled for \$85 million Canadian

- "Best Practices for Monitoring Your Securities Portfolio in 2021.", The Texas Association of Public Employee Retirement Systems (TEXPERS) Monitor (2021)
- "Best Practices for Monitoring Your Securities Portfolio in 2021.", Michigan Association of Public Employee Retirement Systems (MAPERS) Newsletter (2021)
- "Best Practices for Monitoring Your Securities Portfolio in 2021.", Florida Public Pension Trustees Association (FPPTA) (2021)
- Delaware Court Dismisses Compensation Case Against Goldman Sachs, ABA Section of Securities Litigation News & Developments (Nov. 7, 2011)
- SDNY Questions SEC Settlement Practices in Citigroup Settlement, ABA Section of Securities Litigation News & Developments (Nov. 7, 2011)
- New York Court Dismisses Shareholder Suit Against Goldman Sachs, ABA Section of Securities Litigation News & Developments (Oct. 31, 2011)

EDUARD KORSINSKY

Managing Partner

EDUCATION

- New York University School of Law, LL.M. Master of Law(s) Taxation (1997)
- Brooklyn Law School, J.D. (1995)
- Brooklyn College, B.S., Accounting, summa cum laude (1992)

AWARDS



ADMISSIONS

- New York (1996)
- New Jersey (1996)
- United States District Court for the Southern District of New York (1998)
- United States District Court for the Eastern District of New York (1998)
- United States Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit (2006)
- United States Court of Appeals for the Third Circuit (2010)
- United States District Court for the Northern District of New York (2011)
- United States District Court of New Jersey (2012)
- United States Court of Appeals for the Sixth Circuit (2013)
- Arizona (2024)

JOSEPH E. LEVI

Managing Partner



Joseph E. Levi is a central figure in shaping and managing the Firm's securities litigation practice. Mr. Levi has been lead or co-lead in dozens of cases involving the enforcement of shareholder rights in the context of mergers & acquisitions and securities fraud. In addition to his involvement in class action litigation, he has represented numerous patent holders in enforcing their patent rights in areas including computer hardware, software, communications, and information processing, and has been instrumental in obtaining substantial awards and settlements.

Mr. Levi and the Firm achieved success on behalf of the former shareholders of Occam Networks in litigation challenging the Company's merger with Calix, Inc., obtaining a preliminary injunction against the merger due to material representations and omissions in the proxy solicitation. **Chen v. Howard-Anderson**, No. 5878-VCL (Del. Ch.). Vigorous litigation efforts continued to trial, resulting in a \$35 million recovery for shareholders.

Mr. Levi and the Firm served as lead counsel in **Weigard v. Hicks**, No. 5732-VCS (Del. Ch.), which challenged the acquisition of Health Grades by affiliates of Vestar Capital Partners. Mr. Levi successfully demonstrated to the Court of Chancery that the defendants had likely breached their fiduciary duties to Health Grades' shareholders by failing to maximize shareholder value. This ruling was used to reach a favorable settlement where defendants agreed to a host of measures designed to increase the likelihood of superior bid. Vice Chancellor Strine "applaud[ed]" the litigation team for their preparation and the extraordinary high-quality of the briefing.

“[The court] appreciated very much the quality of the argument..., the obvious preparation that went into it, and the ability of counsel...”

Justice Timothy S. Driscoll in *Grossman v. State Bancorp, Inc.*, Index No. 600469/2011 (N.Y. Sup. Ct. Nassau Cnty. Nov. 29, 2011)

JOSEPH E. LEVI**Managing Partner****EDUCATION**

- Polytechnic University, B.S., Electrical Engineering, summa cum laude (1984); M.S. Systems Engineering (1986)
- Brooklyn Law School, J.D., magna cum laude (1995)

AWARDS**ADMISSIONS**

- New York (1996)
- New Jersey (1996)
- United States Patent and Trademark Office (1997)
- United States District Court for the Southern District of New York (1997)
- United States District Court for the Eastern District of New York (1997)



LEVI&KORSINSKY
Shareholder Advocates

Our Attorneys

Partners

- ADAM M. APTON
- DONALD J. ENRIGHT
- SHANNON L. HOPKINS
- GREGORY M. NESPOLE
- NICHOLAS I. PORRITT
- GREGORY M. POTREPKA
- MARK S. REICH
- DANIEL TEPPER
- ELIZABETH K. TRIPODI

ADAM M. APTON

Partner



Adam M. Apton focuses his practice on investor protection. He represents institutional investors and high net worth individuals in securities fraud, corporate governance, and shareholder rights litigation. Prior to joining the firm, Mr. Apton defended corporate clients against complex mass tort, commercial, and products liability lawsuits. Thomson Reuters has selected Mr. Apton to the Super Lawyers "Rising Stars" list every year since 2016, a distinction given to only the top 2.5% of lawyers. He has also been awarded membership to the prestigious Lawyers of Distinction for his excellence in the practice of law and named to the "Lawdragon 500 X" list out of thousands of candidates in recognition of his place at the forefront of the legal profession.

Mr. Apton's past representations and successes include:

- **In re Tesla, Inc. Securities Litigation**, No. 3:18-cv-04865-EMC (N.D. Cal.) (trial counsel in class action representing Tesla investors who were harmed by Elon Musk's "funding secured" tweet from August 7, 2018)
- **In re Navient Corp. Securities Litigation**, No. 17-8373 (RBK/AMD) (D.N.J.) (lead counsel in class action against leading provider of student loans for alleged false and misleading statements about compliance with consumer protection laws)
- **In re Prothena Corporation Plc Securities Litigation**, No. 1:18-cv-06425-ALC (S.D.N.Y.) (\$15.75 million settlement fund against international drug company for false statements about development of lead biopharmaceutical product)
- **Martin v. Altisource Residential Corporation**, et al., No. 15-00024 (AET) (GWC) (D.V.I.) (\$15.5 million settlement fund against residential mortgage company for false statements about compliance with consumer regulations and corporate governance protocols)
- **Levin v. Resource Capital Corp., et al.**, No. 1:15-cv-07081-LLS (S.D.N.Y.) (\$9.5 million settlement in class action over fraudulent statements about toxic mezzanine loan assets)

ADAM M. APTON

Partner

• **Rux v. Meyer (Sirius XM Holdings Inc.)**, No. 11577 (Del. Ch.) (recovery of \$8.25 million against SiriusXM's Board of Directors for engaging in harmful related-party transactions with controlling stockholder, John. C. Malone and Liberty Media Corp.)


PUBLICATIONS

- "Pleading Section 11 Liability for Secondary Offerings" American Bar Association: Practice Points (Jan. 4, 2017)
- "Second Circuit Rules in Indiana Public Retirement System v. SAIC, Inc." American Bar Association: Practice Points (Apr. 4, 2016)
- "Second Circuit Applies Omnicare to Statements of Opinion in Sanofi" American Bar Association: Practice Points (Mar. 30, 2016)
- "Second Circuit Rules in Action AG v. China North" American Bar Association: Practice Points (Sept. 14, 2015)

EDUCATION

- New York Law School, J.D., cum laude (2009), where he served as Articles Editor of the New York Law School Law Review and interned for the New York State Supreme Court, Commercial Division
- University of Minnesota, B.A., Entrepreneurial Management & Psychology, With Distinction (2006)

AWARDS



ADMISSIONS

- New York (2010)
- United States District Court for the Southern District of New York (2010)
- United States District Court for the Eastern District of New York (2010)
- United States Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit (2015)
- United States Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit (2016)
- United States Court of Appeals for the Third Circuit (2016)
- California (2017)
- United States District Court for the Northern District of California (2017)
- United States District Court for the Central District of California (2017)
- United States District Court for the Southern District of California (2017)
- New Jersey (2020)
- United States District Court for the District of New Jersey (2020)

DONALD J. ENRIGHT

Partner



During his 28 years as a litigator and trial lawyer, Mr. Enright has handled matters in the fields of securities, commodities, consumer fraud and commercial litigation, with a particular emphasis on shareholder class action litigation. He has been named as one of the leading financial litigators in the nation by Lawdragon, as a Washington, DC "Super Lawyer" by Thomson Reuters, and as one of the city's "Top Lawyers" by Washingtonian magazine.

Mr. Enright has shown a track record of achieving victories in federal trials and appeals, including:

- **Nathenson v. Zonagen, Inc.**, 267 F. 3d 400, 413 (5th Cir. 2001)
- **SEC v. Butler**, 2005 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 7194 (W.D. Pa. April 18, 2005)
- **Belizan v. Hershon**, 434 F. 3d 579 (D.C. Cir. 2006)
- **Rensel v. Centra Tech Inc.**, 2 F. 4th 1359 (11th Cir. 2021)

Over the course of his career, Mr. Enright has recovered hundreds of millions of dollars for investors. Most recently, in **Karsan Value Fund v. Kostecki Brokerage Pty, Ltd. et al.**, Case No. C.A. No. 2021-0899-LW/W (Delaware Chancery), Mr. Enright was lead counsel for the class, and recovered a \$9.5 million common fund for the minority stockholders in connection with a controller buyout – a \$1.90 per share (75%) increase on top of the original merger consideration of \$2.55 per share. The Court of Chancery approved the settlement on April 4, 2024, and remarked that it was "strong" and a "great settlement."

Similarly, in **In re Schuff International, Inc. Stockholders Litigation**, Case No. 10323-VCZ, Mr. Enright served as Co-Lead Counsel for the plaintiff class in achieving an aggregate recovery of more than \$22 million -- a gross increase from \$31.50 to \$67.45 in total consideration per share (a 114% increase) for tendering stockholders. This was one of the largest recoveries as a percentage of the underlying merger consideration in the history of Delaware M&A litigation.

DONALD J. ENRIGHT

Partner

As Co-Lead Counsel in **In re Bluegreen Corp. Shareholder Litigation**, Case No. 502011CA018111 (Cir. Ct. for Palm Beach Cnty., Fla.), Mr. Enright achieved a \$36.5 million common fund settlement in the wake of a majority shareholder buyout, representing a 25% increase in total consideration to the minority stockholders.

Mr. Enright has played a leadership role in numerous other shareholder class actions from inception to conclusion, producing multi-million-dollar recoveries involving such companies as:

- Allied Irish Banks PLC
- Iridium World Communications, Ltd.
- En Pointe Technologies, Inc.
- PriceSmart, Inc.
- Polk Audio, Inc.
- Meade Instruments Corp.
- Xicor, Inc.
- Streamlogic Corp.
- Interbank Funding Corp.
- Riggs National Corp.
- UTStarcom, Inc.
- Manugistics Group, Inc.
- Yongye International, Inc.
- CNX Gas Corp.
- Sauer-Danfoss, Inc.
- The Parking REIT, Inc.
- Akcea Therapeutics, Inc.

Mr. Enright also has a successful track record of obtaining injunctive relief in connection with shareholder M&A litigation, having won injunctions in the cases of:

- **In re Portec Rail Products, Inc. S'holder Litig.**, G.D. 10-3547 (Ct. Com. Pleas Pa. 2010)
- **In re Craftmade International, Inc. S'holder Litig.**, C.A. No. 6950-VCL (Del. Ch. 2011)
- **Dias v. Purches**, C.A. No. 7199-VCG (Del. Ch. 2012)
- **In re Complete Genomics, Inc. S'holder Litig.**, C.A. No. 7888-VCL (Del. Ch. 2012)
- **In re Integrated Silicon Solution, Inc. Stockholder Litig.**, Lead Case No. 115CV279142 (Sup. Ct. Santa Clara, CA 2015)

DONALD J. ENRIGHT

Partner

Mr. Enright has also demonstrated considerable success in obtaining deal price increases for shareholders in M&A litigation. As Co-Lead Counsel in the matter of **In re Great Wolf Resorts, Inc. Shareholder Litigation**, C.A. No. 7328-VCN (Del. Ch. 2012), Mr. Enright was partially responsible for a \$93 million (57%) increase in merger consideration and waiver of several “don’t-ask-don’t-waive” standstill agreements. Similarly, Mr. Enright served as Co-Lead Counsel in the case of **Berger v. Life Sciences Research, Inc.**, No. SOM-C-12006-09 (NJ Sup. Ct. 2009), which caused a significant increase in the transaction price from \$7.50 to \$8.50 per share, representing additional consideration for shareholders of approximately \$11.5 million. Mr. Enright also served as Co-Lead Counsel in **Minerva Group, LP v. Keane**, Index No. 800621/2013 (NY Sup. Ct. of Erie Cnty.) and obtained an increased buyout price from \$8.40 to \$9.25 per share.

The courts have frequently recognized and praised the quality of Mr. Enright's work. In **In re Interbank Funding Corp. Securities Litigation**, (D.D.C. 02-1490), Judge Bates of the United States District Court for the District of Columbia observed that Mr. Enright had “...skillfully, efficiently, and zealously represented the class, and... worked relentlessly throughout the course of the case.” In **Freeland v. Iridium World Communications, LTD**, (D.D.C. 99-1002), Judge Nanette Laughrey stated that Mr. Enright and his co-counsel had done “an outstanding job” in connection with the recovery of \$43.1 million for the shareholder class. And, in the matter of **Osieczanek v. Thomas Properties Group**, C.A. No. 9029-VCG (Del. Ch. 2013), Vice Chancellor Sam Glasscock of the Delaware Court of Chancery observed that “it’s always a pleasure to have counsel [like Mr. Enright] who are articulate and exuberant in presenting their position,” and that Mr. Enright’s prosecution of a merger case was “wholesome” and served as “a model of . . . plaintiffs’ litigation in the merger arena.”

DONALD J. ENRIGHT

Partner

PUBLICATIONS

- “SEC Enforcement Actions and Investigations in Private and Public Offerings,” Securities: Public and Private Offerings, Second Edition, West Publishing 2007
- “Dura Pharmaceuticals: Loss Causation Redefined or Merely Clarified?” J.Tax’n & Reg. Fin. Inst. September/October 2007, Page 5

EDUCATION

- George Washington University School of Law, J.D. (1996), Member Editor of The George Washington University Journal of International Law and Economics
- Drew University, B.A. cum laude, Political Science and Economics (1993)

ADMISSIONS

- Maryland (1996)
- New Jersey (1996)
- District of Maryland (1997)
- District of New Jersey (1997)
- Washington, DC (1999)
- Fourth Circuit (1999)
- Fifth Circuit (1999)
- United States District Court for the District of Columbia (1999)
- United States Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia (2004)
- Second Circuit (2005)
- Third Circuit (2006)
- United States District Court for the District of Colorado (2017)

AWARDS



SHANNON L. HOPKINS

Partner



Shannon L. Hopkins manages the Firm's Connecticut office. She was selected in 2013 as a New York "Super Lawyer" by Thomson Reuters. For more than two decades Ms. Hopkins has been prosecuting a wide range of complex class action matters in securities fraud, mergers and acquisitions, and consumer fraud litigation on behalf of individuals and large institutional clients. Ms. Hopkins has played a lead role in numerous shareholder securities fraud and merger and acquisition matters and has been involved in recovering multimillion-dollar settlements on behalf of shareholders, including:

- **E-Trade Financial Corp. S'holder Litig.**, No. 07-cv-8538 (S.D.N.Y. 2007), \$79 million recovery for the shareholder class
- **In re U.S. Steel Consolidated Cases**, No. 17-559-CB (W.D. Pa.), \$40 million recovery for shareholder class
- **In re Nutanix, Inc. Securities Litigation**, No. 3:19-cv-01651-WHO (the "Stock Case"), \$71 million for shareholder class
- **Rougier v. Applied Optoelectronics, Inc.**, No. 17-cv-2399 (S.D. Tex.), \$15.5 million recovery for shareholder class
- **In Re Helios and Matheson Analytics, Inc. Sec. Litig.**, No. 18-cv-6965-JGK (S.D.N.Y.), \$8.25 Million shareholder recovery
- **In re Restoration Robotics, Inc. Sec. Litig.**, No. 18-cv-03712-EJD (N.D. Cal.), \$4.175 million shareholder recovery
- **In Stein v. U.S. Xpress Enterprises, Inc.**, et al., No. 1:19-cv-98-TRM-CHS (E.D. Tenn.), \$4.3 million shareholder recovery
- **Kirkland, et al. v. WideOpenWest, Inc.**, et al., Index No. 653248/2018, \$7.025 million recovery for shareholder class

SHANNON L. HOPKINS

Partner

- “Plaintiffs’ selected Class Counsel, the law firm of Levi & Korsinsky, LLP, has demonstrated the zeal and competence required to adequately represent the interests of the Class. The attorneys at Levi & Korsinsky have experience in securities and class actions issues and have been appointed lead counsel in a significant number of securities class actions across the country.”

The Honorable Christina Bryan in *Rougier v. Applied Optoelectronics, Inc.*, No. 4:17-CV-02399 (S.D. Tex. Nov. 13, 2019)

In addition to her legal practice, Ms. Hopkins is a Certified Public Accountant (1998 Massachusetts). Prior to becoming an attorney, Ms. Hopkins was a senior auditor with PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP, where she led audit engagements for large publicly held companies in a variety of industries.

- “In appointing the Firm Lead Counsel, the Honorable Gary Allen Feess noted our “significant prior experience in securities litigation and complex class actions.”

Zaghian v. THQ, Inc., No. 2:12-cv-05227-GAF-JEM (C.D. Cal. Sept. 14, 2012)

SHANNON L. HOPKINS

Partner

PUBLICATIONS

- "Cybercrime Convention: A Positive Beginning to a Long Road Ahead," 2 J. High Tech. L. 101 (2003)

EDUCATION

- Suffolk University Law School, J.D., magna cum laude (2003), where she served on the Journal for High Technology and as Vice Magister of the Phi Delta Phi International Honors Fraternity
- Bryant University, B.S.B.A., Accounting and Finance, cum laude (1995), where she was elected to the Beta Gamma Sigma Honor Society

AWARDS



ADMISSIONS

- Massachusetts (2003)
- United States District Court for the District of Massachusetts (2004)
- New York (2004)
- United States District Court for the Southern District of New York (2004)
- United States District Court for the Eastern District of New York (2004)
- United States District Court for the District of Colorado (2004)
- United States Court of Appeals for the First Circuit (2008)
- United States Court of Appeals for the Third Circuit (2010)
- Connecticut (2013)
- United States Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit (2023)

GREGORY M. NESPOLE

Partner



Gregory Mark Nespole is a Partner of the Firm, having been previously a member of the management committee of one of the oldest firms in New York, as well as chair of that firm's investor protection practice. He specializes in complex class actions, derivative actions, and transactional litigation representing institutional investors such as public and labor pension funds, labor health and welfare benefit funds, and private institutions. Prior to practicing law, Mr. Nespole was a strategist on an arbitrage desk and an associate in a major international investment bank where he worked on structuring private placements and conducting transactional due diligence.

For over twenty years, Mr. Nespole has played a lead role in numerous shareholder securities fraud and merger and acquisition matters and has been involved in recovering multi-million-dollar settlements on behalf of shareholders, including:

- Served as co-chair of a Madoff Related Litigation Task Force that recovered over several hundred million dollars for wronged investors;
- Obtained a \$90 million award on behalf of a publicly listed company against a global bank arising out of fraudulently marketed auction rated securities;
- Successfully obtained multi-million-dollar securities litigation recoveries and/or corporate governance reforms from Cablevision, JP Morgan, American Pharmaceutical Partners, Sepracor, and MBIA, among many others.

Mr. Nespole is a member of the Federal Bar Council and the FBC's Securities Litigation Committee. Mr. Nespole's peers have elected him a "Super Lawyer" in the class action field annually since 2009. He is active in his community as a youth sports coach.



GREGORY M. NESPOLE

Partner

EDUCATION

- Brooklyn Law School, J.D. (1993)
- Bates College, B.A. (1989)

AWARDS



ADMISSIONS

- New York (1994)
- United States District Court for the Southern District of New York (1994)
- United States District Court for the Eastern District of New York (1994)
- United States Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit (1994)
- United States Court of Appeals for the Fourth Circuit (1994)
- United States Court of Appeals for the Fifth Circuit (1994)
- United States District Court for the Northern District of New York (2018)
- United States Court of Appeals for the Eighth Circuit (2019)
- United States Court of Appeals for the Third Circuit (2020)

NICHOLAS I. PORRITT

Partner



Nicholas Porritt prosecutes securities class actions, shareholder class actions, derivative actions, and mergers and acquisitions litigation. He has extensive experience representing plaintiffs and defendants in a wide variety of complex commercial litigation, including civil fraud, breach of contract, and professional malpractice, as well as defending SEC investigations and enforcement actions. Mr. Porritt has helped recover hundreds of millions of dollars on behalf of shareholders. He was one of the Lead Counsel in *In re Google Inc. Class C Shareholder Litigation*, No. 7469-CS (Del. Ch.), which resulted in a payment of \$522 million to shareholders and overall benefit of over \$3 billion to Google's minority shareholders. He is one of the very few attorneys to have tried a securities class action to a jury, acting as lead trial counsel in *In re Tesla, Inc. Securities Litigation*, No. 3:18-cv-04865-EMC (N.D. Cal.), which went to trial in January 2023. He is currently acting in *In re QuantumScape Securities Class Action Litigation*, No. 3:21-cv-00058-WHO (N.D. Cal) representing QuantumScape Corp. investors who were harmed by misrepresentations by management regarding its battery technology as well as lead counsel in *Ford v. TD Ameritrade Holding Corp.*, No. 14-cv-396 (D. Neb.), representing TD Ameritrade customers harmed by its improper routing of their orders. Both cases involve over \$1 billion in estimated damages.

Mr. Porritt speaks frequently on current topics relating to securities laws and derivative actions, including presentations on behalf of the Council for Institutional Investors, Nasdaq, and the Practising Law Institute. and has served as an expert in the areas of securities and derivative litigation.

NICHOLAS I. PORRITT

Partner

CASES PORRITT HAS WORKED ON:

- **Set Capital LLC v. Credit Suisse Group AG**, 2023 WL 2535175 (S.D.N.Y. 2023)
- **Voulgaris, v. Array Biopharma Inc.**, 60 F.4th 1259 (10th Cir. 2023)
- **In re Tesla, Inc. Sec. Litig.**, 2022 WL 7374936 (N.D. Cal. 2022)
- **Klein v. TD Ameritrade Holding Corp.**, 342 F.R.D. 252 (D. Neb. 2022)
- **In re Aphria, Inc. Sec. Litig.**, 342 F.R.D. 199 (S.D.N.Y. 2022)
- **In re Tesla, Inc. Sec. Litig.**, 2022 WL 1497559 (N.D. Cal. 2022)
- **In re QuantumScape Sec. Class Action Litig.**, 580 F. Supp. 3d 714 (N.D. Cal. 2022)
- **Set Capital LLC v. Credit Suisse Group AG**, 996 F.3d 64 (2d Cir. 2021)
- **In re Tesla, Inc. Sec. Litig.**, 477 F. Supp. 3d 903 (N.D. Cal.2020)
- **Voulgaris, v. Array Biopharma Inc.**, No. 17CV02789KLMCONSOLID, 2020 WL 8367829 (D. Colo.2020)
- **In Re Aphria, Inc. Sec. Litig.**, No. 18 CIV. 11376 (GBD), 2020 WL 5819548 (S.D.N.Y. 2020)
- **In re Clovis Oncology, Inc. Deriv. Litig.**, 2019 WL 4850188 (Del. Ch. 2019)
- **Martin v. Altisource Residential Corp.**, 2019 WL 2762923 (D.V.I. 2019)
- **In re Navient Corp. Sec. Litig.**, 2019 WL 7288881 (D.N.J.2019)
- **In re Bridgestone Inv. Corp.**, 789 Fed. App'x 13 (9th Cir. 2019)
- **Klein v. TD Ameritrade Holding Corp.**, 327 F.R.D. 283 (D. Neb. 2018)
- **Beezley v. Fenix Parts, Inc.**, 2018 WL 3454490 (N.D. Ill. 2018)
- **In re Illumina, Inc. Sec. Litig.**, 2018 WL 500990 (S.D. Cal. 2018)
- **In re PTC Therapeutics Sec. Litig.**, 2017 WL 3705801 (D.N.J. 2017)
- **Zaghian v. Farrell**, 675 Fed. Appx. 718, (9th Cir. 2017)
- **In re PTC Therapeutics Sec. Litig.**, 2017 WL 3705801 (D.N.J. Aug. 28, 2017)
- **Martin v. Altisource Residential Corp.**, 2017 WL 1068208 (D.V.I. 2017)
- **Gormley magicJack VocalTec Ltd.**, 220 F. Supp. 3d 510 (S.D.N.Y. 2016)
- **Carlton v. Cannon**, 184 F. Supp. 3d 428 (S.D. Tex. 2016)
- **Zola v. TD Ameritrade, Inc.**, 172 F. Supp. 3d 1055 (D. Neb. 2016)
- **In re Energy Recovery Sec. Litig.**, 2016 WL 324150 (N.D. Cal. Jan. 27, 2016)
- **In re EZCorp Inc. Consulting Agreement Deriv. Litig.**, 2016 WL 301245 (Del. Ch. Jan. 25, 2016)
- **In re Violin Memory Sec. Litig.**, 2014 WL 5525946 (N.D. Cal. Oct. 31, 2014)
- **Garnitschnig v. Horovitz**, 48 F. Supp. 3d 820 (D. Md. 2014)
- **SEC v. Cuban**, 620 F.3d 551 (5th Cir. 2010)
- **Cozzarelli v. Inspire Pharmaceuticals, Inc.**, 549 F.3d 618 (4th Cir. 2008)
- **Teachers' Retirement System of Louisiana v. Hunter**, 477 F.3d 162 (4th Cir. 2007)

NICHOLAS I. PORRITT

Partner

PUBLICATIONS

- "Current Trends in Securities Litigation: How Companies and Counsel Should Respond," Inside the Minds. Recent Developments in Securities Law (Aspatore Press 2010)

EDUCATION

- University of Chicago Law School, J.D., With Honors (1996)
- University of Chicago Law School, LL.M. (1993)
- Victoria University of Wellington, LL.B. (Hons.), With First Class Honors, Senior Scholarship (1990)

AWARDS



ADMISSIONS

- New York (1997)
- District of Columbia (1998)
- United States District Court for the District of Columbia (1999)
- United States District Court for the Southern District of New York (2004)
- United States Court of Appeals for the Fourth Circuit (2004)
- United States Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia Circuit (2006)
- United States Supreme Court (2006)
- United States District Court for the District of Maryland (2007)
- United States District Court for the Eastern District of New York (2012)
- United States Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit (2014)
- United States Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit (2015)
- United States District Court for the District of Colorado (2015)
- United States Court of Appeals for the Tenth Circuit (2016)
- United States Court of Appeals for the Eleventh Circuit (2017)
- United States Court of Appeals for the Eighth Circuit (2019)
- United States Court of Appeals for the Third Circuit (2019)

GREGORY POTREPKA

Partner



Gregory M. Potrepka is a partner of the Firm in its Connecticut office. Mr. Potrepka's practice specializes in vindicating investor rights, including the interests of shareholders of publicly traded companies. Specifically, Mr. Potrepka has considerable experience prosecuting complex class actions, securities fraud matters, and similar commercial litigation. Mr. Potrepka's role in the Firm's securities litigation practice has significantly contributed to many of the Firm's successes, including the following representative matters:

- **In re Nutanix, Inc. Sec. Litig.**, No. 3:19-01651-WHO (N.D. Cal.); **Norton v. Nutanix, Inc.**, 3:21-cv-04080-WHO (N.D. Cal.) (\$71 million recovery)
- **In re U.S. Steel Consolidated Cases**, No. 17-579 (W.D. Pa.) (\$40 million recovery)
- **Rougier v. Applied Optoelectronics, Inc.**, No. 4:17-cv-2399 (S.D. Tex.) (\$15.5 million recovery)
- **In re Helios and Matheson Analytics, Inc. Securities Litigation**, No. 1:18-cv-06965 (S.D.N.Y.) (\$8.25 million recovery)
- **In re Aqua Metals Securities Litigation**, No. 17-cv-07142-HSG (N.D. Cal.) (\$7

EDUCATION

- University of Connecticut School of Law, J.D. (2015)
- University of Connecticut Department of Public Policy, M.P.A. (2015)
- University of Connecticut, B.A., Political Science (2010)

AWARDS



ADMISSIONS

- Connecticut (2015)
- Mashantucket Pequot Tribal Court (2015)
- United States District Court for the District of Connecticut (2016)
- United States District Court for the Southern District of New York (2018)
- United States District Court for the Eastern District of New York (2018)
- United States Court of Appeals for the Third Circuit (2020)
- New York (2023)
- United States District of Colorado (2023)
- United States District Court for the District of Colorado (2023)

MARK S. REICH

Partner



Mark Samuel Reich is a Partner of the Firm. Mark's practice focuses on consumer class actions, including cases involving privacy and data breach issues, deceptive and unfair trade practices, advertising injury, product defect, and antitrust violations. Mark, who has experience and success outside the consumer arena, also supports the Firm's securities and derivative practices.

Mark is attentive to clients' interests and fosters their activism on behalf of class members. Clients he has worked with consistently and enthusiastically endorse Mark's work:

“ Mark attentively guided me through each stage of the litigation, prepared me for my deposition, and ensured that I and other wronged consumers were compensated and that purchasers in the future could not be duped by the appliance manufacturer's misleading marketing tactics.”

Katherine Danielkiewicz, Michigan (S.D. Tex. Nov. 13, 2019)

“ After my experience working with Mark and his colleague, any hesitancy I may have had in the past about leading or participating in a class action has gone away. Mark expertly countered every roadblock that the corporate defendant tried using to dismiss our case and we ultimately reached a resolution that exceeded my expectations”

Barry Garfinkle, Pennsylvania

MARK S. REICH

Partner

Before joining Levi & Korsinsky, Mark practiced at the largest class action firm in the country for more than 15 years, including 8 years as a Partner. Prior to becoming a consumer and shareholder advocate, Mark practiced commercial litigation with an international law firm based in New York, where he defended litigations on behalf of a variety of corporate clients.

Mark has represented investors in securities litigation, devoted to protecting the rights of institutional and individual investors who were harmed by corporate misconduct. His case work involved **State Street Yield Plus Fund Litig.** (\$6.25 million recovery); **In re Doral Fin. Corp. Sec. Litig.**, SDNY (\$129 million recovery); **Lockheed Martin Corp. Sec. Litig.** (\$19.5 million recovery); **Tile Shop Holdings, Inc.** (\$9.5 million settlement); **Curran v. Freshpet Inc.** (\$10.1 million settlement); **In re Jakks Pacific, Inc.** (\$3,925,000 settlement); **Fidelity Ultra Short Bond Fund Litig.** (\$7.5 million recovery); and **Cha v. Kinross Gold Corp.** (\$33 million settlement).

“ Never having been involved in a class action, I was uninformed and apprehensive. Mark and his colleagues not only explained the complexities, but maintained extensive ongoing, communications, involved us fully in all phases of the process; provided appropriate professional counsel and guidance to each participant, and achieved results that satisfied the original goals of the litigation”

Fred Sharp, New York

“ It was a pleasure being represented by Mark. Above all he was patient throughout the tedious process of litigation. He is a good listener and a good communicator, which enhanced my participation and understanding of the process. He also provided excellent follow up throughout, making the process feel more like a team effort.”

Louise Miljenovic, New Jersey

MARK S. REICH

Partner

At his prior firm, Mark achieved notable success challenging unfair mergers and acquisitions in courts throughout the country. Among the M&A litigation that Mark handled or participated in, his notable cases include: **In re Aramark Corp. S'holders Litig.**, where he attained a \$222 million increase in consideration paid to shareholders of Aramark and a substantial reduction to management's voting power – from 37% to 3.5% – in connection with the approval of the going-private transaction; **In re Delphi Fin. Grp. S'holders Litig.**, resulting in a \$49 million post-merger settlement for Class A Delphi shareholders; **In re TD Banknorth S'holders Litig.**, where Mark played a significant role in raising the inadequacy of the \$3 million initial settlement, which the court rejected as wholly inadequate, and later resulted in a vastly increased \$50 million recovery. Mark has also been part of ERISA litigation teams that led to meaningful results, including **In re Gen. Elec. Co. ERISA Litig.**, which resulting in structural changes to company's 401(k) plan valued at over \$100 million, benefiting current and future plan participants.

“ We contacted Mark about our concerns about our oven's failure to perform as advertised. He worked with us to formulate a strategy that ultimately led to a settlement that achieved our and others' goals and specific needs.”

Candace Oliarny, Idaho

“ My wife and I never having been involved with a law firm or Class Action had no idea what to expect. Within the first few phone meetings with Mark, we became assured as Mark explained in detail how the process worked, Mark is a great communicator. Mr. Reich is a true professional, his integrity through the years he worked with us was impeccable. Working with Mark was a truly positive experience, and have no reservations if we ever had to call on his services again.”

Louise Miljenovic, New Jersey

MARK S. REICH

Partner


Before joining the Firm, Mark graduated with a Bachelor of Arts degree from Queens College in New York. He earned his Juris Doctor degree from Brooklyn Law School, where he served on the Moot Court Honor Society and The Journal of Law and Policy.

Mark regularly practices in federal and state courts throughout the country and is a member of the bar in New York. He has been recognized for his legal work by being named a New York Metro Super Lawyer by Super Lawyers Magazine every year since 2013. Mark is active in his local community and has been distinguished for his neighborhood support with a Certificate of Recognition by the Town of Hempstead.

EDUCATION

- Brooklyn Law School, J.D. (2000)
- Queens College, B.A., Psychology and Journalism (1997)

AWARDS



ADMISSIONS

- New York (2001)
- United States District Court for the Southern District of New York (2001)
- United States District Court for the Eastern District of New York (2001)
- United States District Court for the Northern District of New York (2005)
- United States District Court for the Eastern District of Michigan (2017)

DANIEL TEPPER

Partner



Daniel Teppar is a Partner of the Firm with extensive experience in shareholder derivative suits, class actions and complex commercial litigation. Before he joined Levi & Korsinsky, Mr. Teppar was a partner in one of the oldest law firms in New York. He is an active member of the CPLR Committee of the New York State Bar Association and was an early member of its Electronic Discovery Committee. Mr. Teppar has been selected as a New York "Super Lawyer" in 2016 – 2023.

Some of the notable matters where Mr. Teppar had a leading role include:

- **Siegmund v. Bian**, No. 16-62506 (S.D. Fla.), achieving an estimated recovery of \$29.93 per share on behalf of a class of public shareholders of Linkwell Corp. who were forced to sell their stock at \$0.88 per share.
- **In re Platinum-Beechwood Litigation**, No. 18-06658 (S.D.N.Y.), achieved dismissal on behalf of an individual investor in Platinum Partners-affiliated investment fund.
- **Lakatamia Shipping Co. Ltd. v. Nobu Su**, Index No. 654860/2016 (Sup. Ct., N.Y. Co. 2016), achieved dismissal on suit attempting to domesticate a \$40 million UK judgment in New York State.
- **Zelouf Int'l Corp. v. Zelouf**, No. 45 Misc.3d 1205(A) (Sup.Ct. N.Y. Co., 2014), representing the plaintiff in an appraisal proceeding triggered by freeze-out merger of closely-held corporation. Achieved a \$10 million verdict after eleven day trial, with the Court rejecting a discount for lack of marketability.
- **Sacher v. Beacon Assocs. Mgmt. Corp.**, No. 114 A.D.3d 655 (2d Dep't 2014), affirming denial of defendants' motion to dismiss shareholder derivative suit by Madoff feeder fund against fund's auditor for accounting malpractice.
- **In re Belzberg**, No. 95 A.D.3d 713 (1st Dep't 2012), compelling a non-signatory to arbitrate brokerage agreement dispute arising under doctrine of direct benefits estoppel.
- **Estate of DeLeo**, No. 353758/A (Surrog. Ct., Nassau Co. 2011), achieving a full plaintiff's verdict after a seven day trial which restored a multi-million dollar family business to its rightful owner.

DANIEL TEPPER

Partner

- **CMIA Partners Equity Ltd. v. O'Neill**, No. 2010 NY Slip Op 52068(U) (Sup. Ct. N.Y. Co., 2010). Representing the independent directors of a Cayman Islands investment fund, won a dismissal on the pleadings in the first New York State case examining shareholder derivative suits under Cayman Islands law.
- **Hecht v. Andover Assocs. Mgmt. Corp.**, No. 27 Misc 3d 1202(A) (Sup. Ct. Nassau Co., 2010), aff'd, 114 A.D.3d 638 (2d Dep't 2014). Participated in a \$213 million global settlement in the first Madoff related lawsuit in the country to defeat a motion to dismiss.

EDUCATION

- New York University School of Law, J.D. (2000)
- The University of Texas at Austin, B.A. with Honors (1997), National Merit Scholar

AWARDS



ADMISSIONS

- Massachusetts (2001)
- New York (2002)
- United States District Court for the Eastern District of New York (2004)
- United States District Court for the Southern District of New York (2010)
- United States District Court for the Western District of New York (2019)

ELIZABETH K. TRIPODI

Partner



Elizabeth K. Tripodi focuses her practice on shareholder protection, representing investors in securities fraud litigation, corporate derivative litigation, and litigation involving mergers, acquisitions, tender offers, and change-in-control transactions. Ms. Tripodi has been named as a Washington, D.C. "Super Lawyer" in the securities field and was selected as a "Rising Star" by Thomson Reuters for several consecutive years.

Ms. Tripodi's current representations include:

- **In re Tesla, Inc. Securities Litigation**, No. 3:18-cv-04865-EMC (N.D. Cal.) (lead counsel in class action representing Tesla investors who were harmed by Elon Musk's "funding secured" tweet from August 7, 2018)

Ms. Tripodi has played a lead role in obtaining monetary recoveries for shareholders in M&A litigation:

- **In re Schuff International, Inc. Stockholders Litigation**, No. 10323-VCZ, achieving the largest recovery as a percentage of the underlying transaction consideration in Delaware Chancery Court merger class action history, obtaining an aggregate recovery of more than \$22 million -- a gross increase from \$31.50 to \$67.45 in total consideration per share (a 114% increase) for tendering stockholders
- **In re Bluegreen Corp. S'holder Litig.**, No. 502011CA018111 (Circuit Ct. for Palm Beach Cty., FL), creation of a \$36.5 million common fund settlement in the wake of a majority shareholder buyout, representing a 25% increase in total consideration to the minority stockholders
- **In re Cybex International S'holder Litig**, Index No. 653794/2012 (N.Y. Sup. Ct. 2014), recovery of \$1.8 million common fund, which represented an 8% increase in stockholder consideration in connection with management-led cash-out merger
- **In re Great Wolf Resorts, Inc. S'holder Litig**, No. 7328-VCN (Del. Ch. 2012), where there was a \$93 million (57%) increase in merger consideration

ELIZABETH K. TRIPODI

Partner

- **Minerva Group, LP v. Keane**, Index No. 800621/2013 (N.Y. Sup. Ct. 2013), settlement in which Defendants increased the price of an insider buyout from \$8.40 to \$9.25 per share

Ms. Tripodi has played a key role in obtaining injunctive relief while representing shareholders in connection with M&A litigation, including obtaining preliminary injunctions or other injunctive relief in the following actions:

- **In re Portec Rail Products, Inc. S'holder Litig**, No. G.D. 10-3547 (Ct. Com. Pleas Pa. 2010)
- **In re Craftmade International, Inc. S'holder Litig**, No. 6950-VCL (Del. Ch. 2011) • **Dias v. Purches, et al.**, No. 7199-VCG (Del. Ch. 2012)
- **In re Complete Genomics, Inc. S'holder Litig**, No. 7888-VCL (Del. Ch. 2012)
- **In re Integrated Silicon Solution, Inc. Stockholder Litig.**, No. 115CV279142 (Sup. Ct. Santa Clara, CA 2015)

Prior to joining Levi & Korsinsky, Ms. Tripodi was a member of the litigation team that served as Lead Counsel in, and was responsible for, the successful prosecution of numerous class actions, including: **Rudolph v. UTStarcom** (stock option backdating litigation obtaining a \$9.5 million settlement); **Grecian v. Meade Instruments** (stock option backdating litigation obtaining a \$3.5 million settlement).

ELIZABETH K. TRIPODI

Partner

EDUCATION

- American University Washington College of Law, cum laude (2006), where she served as Co-Editor in Chief of the Business Law Journal (f/k/a Business Law Brief), was a member of the National Environmental Moot Court team, and interned for Environmental Enforcement Section at the Department of Justice
- Davidson College, B.A., Art History (2000)

AWARDS



ADMISSIONS

- Virginia (2006)
- United States District Court for the Eastern District of Virginia (2006)
- District of Columbia (2008)
- United States District Court for the District of Columbia (2010)
- United States Court of Appeals for the Seventh Circuit (2018)



LEVI&KORSINSKY
Shareholder Advocates

Our Attorneys

Counsel

- **ANDREW E. LENCYK**
- **COURTNEY E. MACCARONE**
- **BRIAN STEWART**

ANDREW E. LENCYK

Counsel



Andrew E. Lencyk is Counsel to the Firm. Prior to joining the Firm, Mr. Lencyk was a partner in an established boutique firm in New York specializing in securities litigation. He was graduated magna cum laude from Fordham College, New York, with a B.A. in Economics and History, where he was a member of the College's Honors Program, and was elected to Phi Beta Kappa. Mr. Lencyk received his J.D. from Fordham University School of Law, where he was a member of the Fordham Urban Law Journal. He was named to the 2013, 2014, 2015, 2016, 2017, 2018 and 2019 Super Lawyers®, New York Metro Edition.

Mr. Lencyk has co-authored the following articles for the Practicing Law Institute's Accountants' Liability Handbooks:

- *Liability in Forecast and Projection Engagements: Impact of Luce v. Edelstein*
 - *An Accountant's Duty to Disclose Internal Control Weaknesses*
 - *Whistle-blowing: An Accountants' Duty to Disclose A Client's Illegal Acts*
 - *Pleading Motions under the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995*
- *Discovery Issues in Cases Involving Auditors (co-authored and appeared in the 2002 PLI Handbook on Accountants' Liability After Enron.)*

In addition, he co-authored the following article for the Association of the Bar of the City of New York, Corporate & Securities Law Updates:

- *Safe Harbor Provisions for Forward-Looking Statements (co-authored and published by the Association of the Bar of the City of New York, Corporate & Securities Law Updates, Vol. II, May 12, 2000)*

ANDREW E. LENCYK

Counsel

Cases in which Mr. Lencyk actively represented plaintiffs include:

- **Kirkland et al. v. WideOpenWest, Inc.**, No. 653248/2018 (Sup. Ct. NY County) (substantially denying defendants' motion to dismiss Section 11 and 12(a)(2) claims)
- **In re Community Psychiatric Centers Securities Litigation**, No. SA CV-91-533-AHS (Eex) (C.D. Cal.) and McGann v. Ernst & Young, SA CV-93-0814-AHS (Eex) (C.D. Cal.) (recovery of \$54.5 million against company and its outside auditors)
- **In re Danskin Securities Litigation**, Master File No. 92 CIV. 8753 (JSM) (S.D.N.Y.);
- **In re JWP Securities Litigation**, Master File No. 92 Civ. 5815 (WCC) (S.D.N.Y.) (class recovery of approximately \$36 million)
- **In re Porta Systems Securities Litigation**, Master File No. 93 Civ. 1453 (TCP) (E.D.N.Y.);
- **In re Leslie Fay Cos. Securities Litigation**, No. 92 Civ. 8036 (S.D.N.Y.) (\$35 million recovery)
- **Berke v. Presstek, Inc.**, No. 96-347-M (MDL Docket No. 1140) (D.N.H.) (\$22 million recovery)
- **In re Micro Focus Securities Litigation**, No. C-01-01352-SBA-WDB (N.D. Cal.)
- **Dusek v. Mattel, Inc.**, et al., No. CV99-10864 MRP (C.D. Cal.) (\$122 million global settlement)
- **In re Sonus Networks, Inc. Securities Litigation-II**, No. 06-CV-10040 (MLW) (D. Mass.)
- **In re AIG ERISA Litigation**, No. 04 Civ. 9387 (JES) (S.D.N.Y.) (\$24.2 million recovery)
- **In re Mutual Funds Investment Litigation**, MDL No. 1586 (D. Md.)
- In re Alger, Columbia, Janus, MFS, One Group, Putnam, Allianz Dresdner, MDL No. 15863-JFM - Allianz Dresdner subtrack (D. Md.)
- **In re Alliance, Franklin/Templeton, Bank of America/Nations Funds and Pilgrim Baxter**, MDL No. 15862-AMD – Franklin/Templeton subtrack (D. Md.)
- **In re AIG ERISA Litigation II**, No. 08 Civ. 5722 (LTS) (S.D.N.Y.) (\$40 million recovery); and
- **Flynn v. Sientra, Inc.**, No. CV-15-07548 SJO (RAOx) (C.D. Cal.) (\$10.9 million recovery) (co-lead counsel) Court decisions in which Mr. Lencyk played an active role on behalf of plaintiffs include:
- **Pub. Empls' Ret. Sys. of Miss. v. TreeHouse Foods**, No. 2018 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 22717 (N.D. Ill. Feb. 12, 2018) (denying defendants' motion to dismiss in its entirety)

ANDREW E. LENCYK

Counsel

- **Flynn v. Sientra, Inc.**, No. 2016 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 83409 (C.D. Cal. June 9, 2016) (denying in substantial part defendants' motions to dismiss Section 10(b), Section 11 and 12(b)(2) claims), motion for reconsideration denied, slip op. (C.D. Cal. Aug 12, 2016)
- **In re Principal U.S. Property Account ERISA Litigation**, No. 274 F.R.D. 649 (S.D. Iowa 2011) (denying defendants' motion to dismiss)
- **In re AIG ERISA Litigation II**, No. 08 Civ. 5722(LTS), 2011 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 35717 (S.D.N.Y. May 31, 2011) (denying in substantial part defendants' motions to dismiss), renewed motion to dismiss denied, slip op. (S.D.N.Y. June 26, 2014)
- **In re Mutual Funds Investment Litigation**, No. 384 F. Supp. 2d 845 (D. Md. 2005) (denying in substantial part defendants' motions to dismiss), *In re Alger, Columbia, Janus, MFS, One Group, Putnam, Allianz Dresdner*, MDL No. 15863-JFM - Allianz Dresdner subtrack (D. Md. Nov. 3, 2005) (denying in substantial part defendants' motions to dismiss), and *In re Alliance, Franklin/Templeton, Bank of America/Nations Funds and Pilgrim Baxter*, MDL No. 15862-AMD – Franklin/Templeton subtrack (D. Md. June 27, 2008) (same)
- **In re AIG ERISA Litigation**, No. 04 Civ. 9387 (JES) (S.D.N.Y. Dec. 12, 2006) (denying defendants' motions to dismiss in their entirety)
- **Dusek v. Mattel, Inc.**, et al., No. CV99-10864 MRP (C.D. Cal. Dec. 17, 2001) (denying defendants' motions to dismiss Section 14(a) complaint in their entirety)
- **In re Micro Focus Sec. Litig.**, Case No. C-00-20055 SW (N.D. Cal. Dec. 20, 2000) (denying motion to dismiss Section 11 complaint);
- **Zuckerman v. FoxMeyer Health Corp.**, No. 4 F. Supp.2d 618 (N.D. Tex. 1998) (denying defendants' motion to dismiss in its entirety in one of the first cases decided in the Fifth Circuit under the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995)
- **In re U.S. Liquids Securities Litigation**, Master File No. H-99-2785 (S.D. Tex. Jan. 23, 2001) (denying motion to dismiss Section 11 claims)
- **Sands Point Partners, L.P., et al. v. Pediatrix Medical Group, Inc.**, et al., No. 99-6181-CIV-Zloch (S.D. Fla. June 6, 2000) (denying defendants' motion to dismiss in its entirety)
- **Berke v. Presstek, Inc.**, No. 96-347-M (MDL Docket No. 1140) (D.N.H. Mar. 30, 1999) (denying defendants' motion to dismiss)

ANDREW E. LENCYK


Counsel

- **Chalverus v. Pegasystems, Inc.**, No. 59 F. Supp. 2d 226 (D. Mass. 1999) (denying defendants' motion to dismiss);
- **Danis v. USN Communications, Inc.**, No. 73 F. Supp. 2d 923 (N.D. Ill. 1999) (denying defendants' motion to

EDUCATION

- Fordham University School of Law, J.D. (1992)
- Fordham College, B.A. magna cum laude, 1988)

AWARDS



ADMISSIONS

- Connecticut (1992)
- New York (1993)
- United States District Court for the Southern District of New York (2004)
- United States District Court for the Eastern District of New York (2004)
- United States Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit (2015)

COURTNEY E. MACCARONE

Counsel



Courtney E. Maccarone focuses her practice on prosecuting consumer class actions. Prior to joining Levi & Korsinsky, Ms. Maccarone was an associate at a boutique firm in New York specializing in class action litigation. While attending Brooklyn Law School, Ms. Maccarone served as the Executive Symposium Editor of the Brooklyn Journal of International Law and was a member of the Moot Court Honor Society. Her note, "Crossing Borders: A TRIPS-Like Treaty on Quarantines and Human Rights" was published in the Spring 2011 edition of the Brooklyn Journal of International Law.

Ms. Maccarone also gained experience in law school as an intern to the Honorable Martin Glenn of the Southern District of New York Bankruptcy Court and as a law clerk at a New York City-based class action firm. Ms. Maccarone has been recognized as a Super Lawyer "Rising Star" for the New York Metro area every year since 2014.

EDUCATION

- Brooklyn Law School, J.D., magna cum laude (2011)
- New York University, B.A., magna cum laude (2008)

AWARDS



ADMISSIONS

- New Jersey (2011)
- New York (2012)
- United States District Court for the District of New Jersey (2012)
- United States District Court for the Eastern District of New York (2012)
- United States District Court for the Southern District of New York (2012)

BRIAN STEWART

Counsel



Brian Stewart is an Associate with the Firm practicing in the Washington, D.C. office. Prior to joining the firm, Mr. Stewart was an associate at a small litigation firm in Washington D.C. and a regulatory analyst at the Financial Industry Regulatory Authority (FINRA). During law school, he interned for the Enforcement Divisions of the SEC and CFPB.

EDUCATION

- American University Washington College of Law, J.D. (2012)
- University of Washington, B.S., Economics and Mathematics (2008)

ADMISSIONS

- Maryland (2012)
- District of Columbia (2014)
- United States District Court for the District of Maryland (2017)
- United States District Court for the District of Colorado (2017)



LEVI&KORSINSKY
Shareholder Advocates

Our Attorneys

Senior Associates

- **JORDAN A. CAFRITZ**
- **MORGAN EMBLETON**
- **DAVID C. JAYNES**
- **CORREY A. SUK**

JORDAN A. CAFRITZ**Senior Associate**

Jordan Cafritz is an Associate with the Firm's Washington, D.C. office. While attending law school at American University he was an active member of the American University Business Law Review and worked as a Rule 16 attorney in the Criminal Justice Defense Clinic. After graduating from law school, Mr. Cafritz clerked for the Honorable Paul W. Grimm in the U.S. District Court for the District of Maryland.

EDUCATION

- American University Washington College of Law, J.D. (2014)
- University of Wisconsin-Madison, B.A., Economics & History (2010)

ADMISSIONS

- Maryland (2014)
- District of Columbia (2018)

MORGAN EMBLETON

Senior Associate



Morgan M. Embleton is an associate in the Firm's Connecticut office. Since 2018, Ms. Embleton has focused her practice on federal securities class actions and protecting the interests of shareholders of publicly traded companies.

Prior to that, Ms. Embleton litigated matters arising under the False Claims Act, Jones Act, Longshore Harbor Workers' Compensation Act, Louisiana Whistleblower Act, and Louisiana Environmental Whistleblower Act, as well as pharmaceutical mass torts and products liability claims. Ms. Embleton has extensive experience prosecuting securities fraud matters, complex class actions, and multidistrict litigations.

Ms. Embleton received her J.D. and Environmental Law Certificate from Tulane University Law School in 2014. During her time in law school, Ms. Embleton was a student attorney in the Tulane Environmental Law Clinic, a member of the Journal of Technology and Intellectual Property, and the Assistant Director of Research and Development for the Durationator.

EDUCATION

- Tulane University Law School, J.D. and Environmental Law Certificate (2014)
- University of Colorado at Boulder, B.A., cum laude, Sociology (2010)

ADMISSIONS

- Louisiana (2014)
- United States District Court for the Eastern District of Louisiana (2015)
- United States District Court for the Middle District of Louisiana (2016)
- United States District Court for the Western District of Louisiana (2016)
- United States Court of Federal Claims (2016)
- United States Court of Appeals for the Fifth Circuit (2016)
- United States Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit (2017)
- United States District Court for the Eastern District of Michigan (2020)

DAVID C. JAYNES

Senior Associate



David C. Jaynes focuses his practice on investor protection and securities fraud litigation. In addition to his law degree, Mr. Jaynes has graduate degrees in business administration and finance. Prior to joining the firm, David worked in the Enforcement Division of the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission in the Salt Lake Regional Office as part of the Student Honors Program. Mr. Jaynes began his career as a prosecutor and has significant trial experience.

While at Levi & Korsinsky, Mr. Jaynes has actively represented plaintiffs in the following securities class actions:

- **In re U. S. Steel Consolidated Cases**, No. 17-579 (W.D. Pa.)
- **Stein v. U.S. Xpress Enterprises, Inc.**, et al., No. 1:19-cv-98-TRM-CHS (E.D. Tenn.)
- **John P. Norton, On Behalf Of The Norton Family Living Trust** UAD 11/15/2002 v. Nutanix, Inc. et al, No. 3:21-cv-04080 (N.D. Cal.)

Mr. Jaynes has also had a role in litigating the following securities actions:

- **Ferraro Family Foundation, Inc. v. Corcept Therapeutics Incorporated**, No.5:19-cv-1372-LHK (N.D. Cal.)
- **The Daniels Family 2001 Revocable Trust v. Las Vegas Sands Corp.**, et al., No. 1:20-cv-08062-JMF (D. Nev.)
- **Dan Kohl v. Loma Negra Compania Industrial Argentina Sociedad Anonima**, et al., Index No. 653114/2018 (Sup. Ct., County of New York)

EDUCATION

- University of Utah, M.S., Finance (2020)
- University of Utah, M.B.A (2020)
- The George Washington University Law School, J.D. (2015)
- Brigham Young University, B.A., Middle East Studies and Arabic (2009)

ADMISSIONS

- Maryland (2015)
- Utah (2016)
- United States District Court for the District of Utah (2016)
- California (2021)
- United States District Court for the Northern District of California (2022)
- United States District Court for the Central District of California (2023)
- District of Colorado (2023)

CORREY A. SUK

Senior Associates



Correy A. Suk is an experienced litigator with a focus on shareholder derivative suits, class actions, and complex commercial litigation. Correy began her career with the Investor Protection Bureau of the Office of the New York State Attorney General and spent four years prosecuting shareholder derivative actions and securities fraud litigation at one of the oldest firms in the country. Prior to joining Levi & Korsinsky, Correy represented both individuals and corporations in complex business disputes at a New York litigation boutique. Correy's unflappable disposition and composure reflect a pragmatic approach to both litigation and negotiation. She thrives under pressure and serves as an aggressive advocate for her clients in the most high-stakes situations. Correy has been recognized as a Super Lawyers Rising Star every year since 2017.


PUBLICATIONS

- "Unsafe Sexting: The Dangerous New Trend and the Need for Comprehensive Legal Reform," 9 Ohio St. J. Crim. L. 405 (2011)

EDUCATION

- The Ohio State University Moritz College of Law, J.D. (2011)
- Georgetown University, B.S.B.A. (2008)

AWARDS



ADMISSIONS

- New Jersey (2011)
- New York (2012)
- United States District Court for the Southern District of New York (2015)
- United States District Court for the Eastern District of New York (2015)
- United States District Court for the District of New Jersey (2016)



LEVI&KORSINSKY
Shareholder Advocates

Our Attorneys

Associates

- COLIN BROWN
- AMANDA FOLEY
- NOAH GEMMA
- DEVYN R. GLASS
- GARY ISHIMOTO
- SIDHARTH KAKKAR
- ALEXANDER KROT
- MELISSA MEYER
- CINAR ONEY
- COLE VON RICHTHOFEN
- MAX WEISS

COLIN BROWN

Associate



Colin Brown is an Associate working remotely for Levi and Korsinsky's Consumer Litigation and Mass Arbitration Team. During law school, Colin was a member of the North Dakota Law Review, and worked as a law clerk for the Judges in the NE Central Judicial District in Grand Forks, North Dakota. Following law school, Colin worked as an Associate attorney in Fargo, ND at the Nilles Law Firm in the areas of commercial and personal injury litigation for which he conducted research, drafted briefs and pleadings, and worked on discovery.

EDUCATION

- University of North Dakota School of Law, J.D. (2018), Law Review Member
- University of North Dakota, B.A. (2015)

ADMISSIONS

- Minnesota (2018)
- North Dakota (2019)

AMANDA FOLEY

Associate



Amanda Foley is an Associate in Levi & Korsinsky's Stamford office where she focuses her practice on federal securities litigation. Prior to joining Levi & Korsinsky, Amanda gained substantial experience at a boutique Boston firm where she was trained in securities and business litigation.

Amanda received her Juris Doctorate degree from Suffolk University Law School with an International Law concentration with Distinction and was selected to join the International Legal Honor Society of Phi Delta Phi. While in law school, Amanda focused her legal education on securities law & regulation, international investment law & arbitration, and business law.

EDUCATION


- Suffolk University Law School, J.D. (2021)
- Colorado State University, B.S. (2011)

ADMISSIONS

- Massachusetts (2021)
- United States District Court for the District of Massachusetts (2022)

NOAH GEMMA

Associate



Noah Gemma worked previously as a summer associate at a boutique commercial litigation firm. There, Mr. Gemma drafted briefs and other legal memoranda on behalf of national and closely held corporations in complex federal and state court litigation. In particular, Mr. Gemma helped the firm: (i) win multiple motions to dismiss on behalf of a national bank and a national bonding company in federal court cases involving alleged fraud and other alleged improprieties; (ii) settle an avoidable preference action on behalf of a national hauling company in a federal bankruptcy proceeding for a small fraction of the alleged damages; (iii) settle a negligence action on behalf of a court appointed fiduciary against officers of a defunct company and its insurance carrier on advantageous terms; and (iv) secure a favorable decision on behalf of a national bonding company before the state supreme court.

Mr. Gemma also served as a judicial intern for the Honorable Judge Bruce M. Selya in the United States Court of Appeals for the First Circuit and for the Honorable Judge Virginia M. Hernandez Covington in the United States District

Court for the Middle District of Florida. Using his experience representing the interests of national and closely held corporations to analyze and assess potential cases of corporate impropriety, Mr. Gemma currently prosecutes corporate and director malfeasance through the preparation and filing of shareholder mergers and acquisitions actions and corporate governance litigation.

EDUCATION

- Georgetown University Law Center, J.D., Editor for The Georgetown Law Journal (2021)
- Providence College, B.A. (2018)

ADMISSIONS

- Rhode Island (2021)
- District of Columbia (2022)

DEVYN R. GLASS

Associate



Devyn R. Glass currently focuses her practice on representing investors in federal securities fraud litigation.

Prior to joining the firm, Ms. Glass gained substantial experience at a national boutique firm specializing in complex litigation across a variety of practice areas representing both plaintiffs and defendants. Since 2017, Ms. Glass has focused her practice on consumer and shareholder protection, litigating numerous class action lawsuits across the country that involved data privacy and data breach, deceptive and unfair trade practices, and securities fraud.

At her prior firms, Ms. Glass played a pivotal role in obtaining monetary recoveries and/or injunctive relief on behalf of shareholders and consumers. Notable cases include: *Lowry v. RTI Surgical Holdings, Inc. et al.*, (D. Ill.) (obtaining \$10.5 million on behalf of a shareholder class alleging violations of the federal securities laws); *In re Google Plus Profile Litigation*, (N.D. Cal.) (obtaining \$7.5 million on behalf of a consumer class exposed to a years-long data breach); and *Barrett v. Pioneer*

Natural Resources USA, Inc., (D. Colo.) (obtaining \$500,000 on behalf of more than 8,000 current and former 401(k) plan participants alleging violations of the Employee Retirement Income Security Act).

EDUCATION

- Loyola University College of Law, New Orleans, J.D., cum laude (2016), where she received a Certificate of Concentration in Law, Technology and Entrepreneurship, served as a member of the Loyola Journal of Public Interest Law, and interned for the Louisiana Second Circuit Court of Appeals
- Louisiana Tech University, B.A., cum laude (2013), Political Science, minor in English

ADMISSIONS

- New York (2017)
- District of Columbia (2017)
- United States District Court District of Columbia (2018)
- United States District Court District of Colorado (2018)
- United States Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit (2022)

GARY ISHIMOTO

Associate



Gary Ishimoto is an Associate working remotely with Levi and Korsinsky's Consumer Litigation Team. During law school, he worked at the Small Business Law Clinic helping to draft incorporation papers, non-compete clauses, IP assignments, board consent, and stock purchase agreements for start-up businesses. He also interned for the Rossi Law Group.

EDUCATION

- Pepperdine School of Law, J.D. (2020)
- California State University, Northridge, B.S. (2013)

ADMISSIONS

- Massachusetts (2021)

SIDHARTH KAKKAR

Associate



Mr. Kakkar is an Associate with a focus on shareholder derivative suits, class actions, and complex commercial litigation.

EDUCATION

- New York Law School, J.D. (2022), member of the Center for Business & Financial Law
- Swarthmore College, B.A. (2017)

ADMISSIONS

- New York (2024)
- New Jersey (2024)
- United States District Court for the Southern District of New York (2024)

ALEXANDER KROT

Associate

**EDUCATION**

- American University, Kogod School of Business, M.B.A. (2012)
- Georgetown University Law Center, LL.M., Securities and Financial Regulation, With Distinction (2011)
- American University Washington College of Law, J.D. (2010)
- The George Washington University, B.B.A., concentrations in Finance and International Business (2003)

ADMISSIONS

- Maryland (2011)
- District of Columbia (2014)
- United States District Court for the District of Colorado (2015)
- United States Court of Appeals for the Tenth Circuit (2016)
- United States District Court for the Eastern District of Wisconsin (2017)
- United States Court of Appeals for the Third Circuit (2018)
- United States Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit (2020)

MELISSA MEYER

Associate



Melissa Meyer is an Associate with the Firm's New York Office focusing on federal securities litigation. Ms. Meyer previously worked as a paralegal for the New York office while attending law school.

EDUCATION

- New York Law School, J.D., Dean's Scholar Award, member of the Dean's Leadership Council (2018)
- John Jay College of Criminal Justice, B.A. (2013), magna cum laude

ADMISSIONS

- New York (2019)
- United States District Court for the Southern District of New York (2020)

CINAR ONEY

Associate



Cinar Oney is an Associate in Levi & Korsinsky's New York office. His practice focuses on investigation and analysis of various forms of corporate misconduct, including excessive compensation, insider trading, unfair self-dealing, and corporate waste. He develops litigation strategies through which shareholders can pursue recoveries.

Prior to joining Levi & Korsinsky, Mr. Oney practiced with top firms in Turkey, where he represented shareholders, corporations, and governmental entities in commercial disputes and transactional matters.

PUBLICATIONS

- *FinTech Industrial Banks and Beyond: How Banking Innovations Affect the Federal Safety Net*, 23 FORDHAM J. CORP. & FIN. L. 541 (2018)

EDUCATION

- Fordham University School of Law, J.D. (2019)
- International University College of Turin, LL.M. (2014)
- Istanbul University Faculty of Law, Undergraduate Degree in Law (2011)

ADMISSIONS

- New York (2020)

COLE VON RICHTHOFEN

Associate



Cole von Richthofen is an Associate in Levi & Korsinsky's Connecticut office. As a law student, he interned with the honorable Judge Thomas Farrish in the District of Connecticut's Hartford courthouse with an emphasis on settlements. He has also interned with the Office of the Attorney General for the State of Connecticut in the Employment Rights Division. While attending law school, Cole served as an Executive Editor of the Connecticut Public Interest Law Journal and as a member of the Connecticut Moot Court Board.

EDUCATION

- University of Connecticut School of Law, J.D. (2022)
- University of Connecticut, B.S., Business & Marketing (2015)

ADMISSIONS

- Connecticut (2022)
- United States District Court for the District of Connecticut (2024)

MAX WEISS

Associate



Max Weiss focuses his practice on investor protection and securities fraud litigation. He is proficient in litigation, legal research, motion practice, case evaluation and settlement negotiation. Prior to joining the firm, Max practiced in the general liability area and has extensive experience litigating high-exposure personal injury claims in New York State and federal trial and appellate courts. While in law school, Max gained experience helping pro se debtors prepare and file Chapter 7 and Chapter 13 petitions with the New York Legal Assistance Group (**NYLAG**) Bankruptcy Project and served as an intern to the Honorable Sean Lane of the Southern District of New York Bankruptcy Court. Max currently serves on the Securities Litigation Committee for the New York City Bar Association as an affiliate member helping shape law and public policy.

EDUCATION

- St. John's School of Law, J.D. (2018), where he served as the Senior Executive Editor of the Journal of Civil Rights & Economic Development
- Colgate University, B.A., Political Science (2011)

ADMISSIONS

- New York (2019)
- United States District Court for the Southern District of New York (2019)
- United States District Court for the Eastern District of New York (2019)